A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF THE TOXIC EFFECTS OF MERCURY IN DENTAL AMALGAM FILLINGS ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMAN HEALTH

The International Academy of Oral Medicine and Toxicology (www.iaomt.org) 2016 Update

"For medical reasons, amalgam should be eliminated in dental care as soon as possible. This will confer gains in three respects. The prevalence of side-effects from patients' mercury exposure will decline; occupational exposure to mercury can cease in dental care; and one of our largest sources of mercury in the environment can be eliminated."¹ --Dr. Maths Berlin, the Dental Material Commission of Sweden, 2003

About the IAOMT:

Representing a network of dental, medical, and research professionals with more than 750 members in North America and affiliated chapters in over fourteen other countries, the International Academy of Oral Medicine and Toxicology (IAOMT) has been researching the damage dental mercury inflicts on the environment and humans since the non-profit organization was created in 1984.

Brief Overview of Mercury Used in Dentistry:

Millions of dentists around the world routinely use dental amalgam as a filling material in decayed teeth. Often referred to as "silver fillings," all dental amalgams actually consist of 45-55% metallic mercury.² Mercury is a known neurotoxin that can cause harm to humans, especially children, pregnant women, and fetuses. Furthermore, the use of dental amalgam results in substantial quantities of toxic mercury released annually into the environment. Once in the environment, mercury pollution damages animals, plants, and the entire ecosystem, while creating "hotspots that last for centuries."³

According to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), there are currently over 1,000 tons of mercury in the mouths of Americans, which is more than half of all the mercury being used in the U.S. today.⁴ While amalgams are currently used for 45% of all direct dental restorations worldwide,⁵ articles published in the *Journal of the American Dental Association* have established that these mercury fillings are used on 51.0% of White/Caucasian Americans 53.4% of Black/African Americans, on 72.9% of American Indians/Alaska Natives/Asians/Pacific Islanders,⁶ and on more than 75% of posterior restorations for new recruits to the U.S. Navy and Marines.⁷

Controversy has surrounded the use of mercury in dentistry since the 1800's, when the hazardous material was first widely introduced as a filling component. The American Society of Dental Surgeons, the predecessor to the American Dental Association (ADA), made its members pledge not to use mercury because of its known toxicity,⁸ and in more recent years, government officials, scientists, dentists, consumers, and many others have raised serious concerns about the threats dental mercury poses to humans and to the environment at large.

In 2013, the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)'s Intercessional Negotiating Committee formalized a global, legally-binding mercury treaty, which has now been signed by over 100 countries, including the U.S. Part of UNEP's "Minamata Convention on Mercury" text includes initiatives with regards to dental mercury amalgam such as setting national objectives aimed at minimizing its use, promoting the use of cost-effective and clinically effective mercury-free alternatives for dental restoration, discouraging insurance policies and programs that favor dental amalgam use over mercury-free dental restoration, and promoting the use of best environmental practices in dental facilities to reduce releases of mercury and mercury compounds to water and land.⁹

Individual countries have likewise taken protective actions against this dental material. Norway banned dental amalgam in 2008,¹⁰ Sweden banned the use of dental amalgam for almost all purposes in 2009,¹¹ and Denmark, Estonia, Finland, and Italy, use it for less than 5% of tooth restorations.¹² Japan and Switzerland have also restricted or almost banned dental amalgam.¹³ France has recommended that alternative mercury-free dental materials be used for pregnant women, and Austria, Canada, Finland, and Germany have purposely reduced the use of dental amalgam fillings for pregnant women, children, and/or in patients with kidney problems.¹⁴

In spite of this international action, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) "considers dental amalgam fillings safe for adults and children ages 6 and above."¹⁵ However, details in the FDA's public statements about dental amalgam on its website have changed over the years, including information about amalgam's potentially harmful impact on pregnant women, fetuses, and children under the age of six. Due in part to concerns about this lack of protection, the IAOMT filed a lawsuit in 2014 against the FDA over its classification of dental mercury amalgam.¹⁶ As part of the case, the IAOMT secured an internal document from the FDA that had proposed restricting dental mercury amalgam use in pregnant and nursing women and children under the age of six, as well as individuals with mercury allergies and pre-existing kidney or neurological disease.¹⁷ Yet, allegedly for administrative reasons, the FDA communication (dated January 2012) was never released to the public.

Meanwhile, scientific studies continue to demonstrate that the mercury used in dentistry poses serious risks to the environment and public health.

Dental Amalgam Pollutes the Environment in a Variety of Ways:

Some 340 tonnes of mercury is used per year in dentistry, of which about 70-100 tonnes (i.e. 20- 30%) likely enters the solid waste stream.¹⁸ --United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), <u>Global Mercury Assessment</u>, 2013

1) Wastewater from Dental Offices

According to the United States Geological Survey, in 2010, dental amalgam was the leading end-use sector of mercury in the U.S.¹⁹ The use of mercury for dental amalgam in the U.S. has been estimated at 35.2 tons per year,²⁰ and the discharge per dentist is an average of 250 milligrams per day (for an equivalent of 12 tons collectively released to the U.S. environment each year).²¹ This mercury pollution can obviously damage the environment, and indeed, a 2002 report from the New York Academy of Sciences found that over 40% of the mercury entering the New York/New Jersey harbor through wastewater was the result of discharges from dental offices.²²

Additionally, in a 2014 document, the U.S. EPA recognized that "dental offices are the largest source of mercury discharges to POTWs [publicly-owned treatment works], contributing about half of the mercury received by POTWs."²³ This is dangerous because wastewater treatment facilities are designed to process human waste, not heavy metals. Thus, the mercury from dental discharges is separated out into sludge or biosolids.²⁴ The sludge is usually incinerated, which releases mercury pollution into the atmosphere,²⁵ and the biosolids are often used as fertilizer, which contaminates soil with mercury.²⁶

"Human waste is second only to direct release from dental offices as a contributor of dental mercury to wastewater plants (AMSA 2001)."²⁷ --Cited in Letter to the Editor by Larose & Basciano (IAOMT), <u>Journal of Dental Research</u>, 2008

2) Human Waste

Research has shown that amalgam fillings contribute to notable mercury levels in saliva, urine, and feces, and patients with dental amalgam excrete more than ten times more mercury in their feces than those without mercury fillings.²⁸ Based on figures provided in scientific studies, the IAOMT has estimated that in the U.S., this amounts to over eight tons of mercury being flushed out to sewers, streams, and lakes per year.²⁹ The same types of calculations were derived in Sweden in 1994, when researchers suggested that 100 kilograms (over 220 pounds) of mercury was being released to their country's environment annually as a result of dental mercury excretion in feces and urine.³⁰

Considering that dental mercury is released in feces and urine,³¹ and methylmercury (such as that taken in from fish consumption), is also released in feces and urine,³² the impact of human waste containing various forms of mercury is a pertinent factor in water pollution.

*"Amalgam fillings not replaced before death will cause emissions to air, soil, and water upon cremation or burial."*³³ *--Hylander & Goodsite, <u>Science of the Total Environment, 2006</u>*

3) Cremation and Burial

A 2013 assessment on mercury from UNEP reported: "Global emissions from use of mercury in dental amalgam resulting from cremation of human remains are estimated at 3.6 (0.9 - 11.9) tonnes in 2010."³⁴ With this consequential amount of mercury being released, it is apparent that cremation of bodies with amalgam fillings adds to air emissions and deposition onto land and into waterways. To illustrate this point, in 1992, the IAOMT applied scientific data to calculate that the cremation of 320,372 bodies in the U.S. during the preceding year added an estimated 2,800 pounds of mercury emissions into the atmosphere.³⁵

Austria, Belgium, Germany, The Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, and Switzerland have applied measures to reduce pollution from cremations.³⁶ Although legislation has yet to be passed in the U.S.,³⁷ Colorado, Maine, Minnesota, and Vermont have attempted to achieve regulations that would make removing amalgam fillings before cremation mandatory.³⁸ Meanwhile, citizens in the U.S. have fought crematoriums in their neighborhoods by filing lawsuits³⁹ and initiating protests.⁴⁰

A variety of trends suggest that mercury releases from amalgam fillings in crematoriums will continue to increase.^{41 42} However, one alternative to cremation is a traditional burial, but burying an individual with amalgam fillings means that the mercury is deposited directly into the soil. This means that whether a person is cremated or buried, the mercury is released back to the environment.⁴³

"Hg [mercury] vapor release to the atmosphere from dental vacuums can be substantial and can exceed human exposure limits."⁴⁴ --Stone, Cohen, & Debban, Naval Institute for Dental and Biomedical Research, 2007

4) Mercury Vapor

In offices with air/water separator tanks as part of the central vacuum system, mercury vapor has been found in air vented to the outside of the dental office.^{45 46} Dr. Paul G. Rubin of IAOMT has explained: "This mercury-containing material is discharged into waste streams via the dental office vacuum-pump system. This system also discharges large quantities of air, either into the atmosphere exterior to the office building or into the sewer system, depending on the type of equipment used."⁴⁷

Indoor air can also be dangerously polluted as a result of dental mercury. A study published in 2014 conducted at 42 dental sites in 17 countries found that mercury levels within the clinics were above safe limits, leading the researchers to conclude: "At these sites, [the] public, in general, and the medical, paramedical staff and vulnerable population, in particular, are at most serious risk to health resulting from exposure to toxic and hazardous mercury."⁴⁸

Furthermore, mercury particulate can be discharged from dental amalgam fillings, and vapor is continuously emitted from amalgam fillings, ^{49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70} which means that people are directly exposed to the mercury in their mouths. The output of mercury vapor can be intensified by the number of fillings and other activities, such as chewing, teeth-grinding, and the consumption of hot liquids. ^{71 72 73 74 75 76 77} Mercury is also known to be released during the placement, replacement, and removal of dental mercury amalgam fillings. ^{78 79 80 81 82} 83 84 85

Human Health Risks of Mercury in Dental Amalgam:

"And I think that there really is perhaps no place for mercury in children."⁸⁶ --Dr. Suresh Kotagal, pediatric neurologist at the Mayo Clinic; FDA Dental Products Panel, 2010

1) Pregnant Women and Children

Authorities have issued distinct warnings about mercury's use in children and pregnant women. For example, a 2005 World Health Organization (WHO) report identified harmful effects of mercury exposure, including areas of risk specifically linked to mercury in fetuses and children: "Adverse health effects from mercury exposure can be: tremors, impaired vision and hearing, paralysis, insomnia, emotional instability, developmental deficits during fetal development, and attention deficit and developmental delays during childhood."⁸⁷

Moreover, as stated earlier in this document, international legislation has warned of the clear and present danger that the mercury in dental amalgam fillings poses to pregnant women and children: In addition to the countries that have banned or drastically reduced the use of dental amalgam,^{88 89 90 91} France has recommended that alternative mercury-free dental materials be used for pregnant women, and Austria, Canada, Finland, and Germany have worked to reduce the use of dental amalgam fillings for pregnant women and children.⁹²

Also, 19 members of the U.S. Congress wrote a letter to the FDA in 2009 to express their concern about mercury used in amalgam fillings, with a focus on potential dangers to pregnant women and children,⁹³ and when Representative Diane Watson of California proposed a *Mercury Filling Disclosure and Prohibition Act* (H.R. 2101{not enacted}), she explained: "It is, in fact, children who are at greatest risk from these fillings."⁹⁴

In regards to fetal and infant risks from dental amalgam, research in this area has provided significant data associating the number of maternal amalgam fillings with mercury levels in cord blood;^{95 96} in the placenta;⁹⁷ in the kidneys^{98 99} and liver¹⁰⁰ of fetuses; in fetal hair;¹⁰¹ and in the brain¹⁰² and kidneys¹⁰³ of infants. Another trend in research about maternal amalgam fillings are studies that have found the mercury concentration in breast milk increases as the number of amalgam fillings in the mother increases.^{104 105 106 107}

Although two studies^{108 109} (commonly referred to as the "New England Children's Amalgam Trial" and the "Casa Pia Children's Amalgam Trial") have repeatedly been used to defend the use of amalgam in children, other researchers have since demonstrated that factors such as long term effects, genetic predisposition, and measurement errors must be taken into account. ^{110 111 112 113 114 115} Furthermore, researchers studying the same cohort (of the Children's Amalgam Trials) have provided data that has identified potential risks to these subjects from mercury exposure based on gender, ^{116 117 118} genetic predisposition,^{119 120 121} and even gum-chewing.¹²² Risk assessments have also explored designating safe levels for children, who are smaller and still developing,¹²³ especially since many dose levels are based on a one-size-fits-all scale for both children and adults.

In the meantime, scientific research continues to show that children are, in fact, at-risk for health impairments potentially caused by dental amalgam mercury fillings.^{124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133} In summary, authors of a study from 2011 cautioned: "Changes in dental practices involving amalgam, especially for children, are highly recommended in order to avoid unnecessary exposure to Hg [mercury]."¹³⁴

"Dental amalgam fillings are the primary source of mercury exposure for the general population (Skare, 1995; Health Canada, 1997)."¹³⁵ --Cited in paper published under the joint sponsorship of the United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization, and the World Health Organization, 2003

2) The General Population

An extensive number of research studies have thoroughly documented the human health risks associated with the use of dental amalgam. Scientific data from all over the world has investigated how the mercury in amalgam fillings can

relate to Alzheimer's disease,^{136 137 138} amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Lou Gehrig's disease),¹³⁹ antibiotic resistance,¹⁴⁰ ^{141 142 143} autism spectrum disorders,^{144 145 146} autoimmune disorders/immunodeficiency,^{147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 cardiovascular problems,^{157 158 159} chronic fatigue syndrome,^{160 161 162 163} hearing loss,¹⁶⁴ kidney disease,^{165 166 167 168} ^{169 170 171 172} multiple sclerosis,^{173 174 175 176} Parkinson's disease,^{177 178 179} reproductive dysfunction,^{180 181} and a myriad of other health problems.¹⁸²}

Researchers of a scientific review published in 2014 entitled "Evidence supporting a link between dental amalgams and chronic illness, fatigue, depression, anxiety, and suicide" resolved: "Thus, consideration of Hg [mercury] toxicity may be central to the effective clinical investigation of many chronic illnesses, particularly those involving fatigue and depression."¹⁸³

More essential details on factors relevant to mercury toxicity and the general population are provided below in the "Additional Considerations about Dental Amalgam and Human Health" section of this fact sheet.

"Various countries are moving to limit the use of amalgam as a dental restorative material in order to protect dental patients from Hg [mercury] exposure. However, dentists' occupational exposure should also be considered as a justification for reduced amalgam use."¹⁸⁴ Richardson, Human and Ecological Risk Assessment, 2003

3) Dentists and Dental Personnel

A plethora of scientific studies have demonstrated potential hazards of mercury in the workplace to dental workers,¹⁸⁵ ¹⁸⁶ ¹⁸⁷ ¹⁸⁸ ¹⁸⁹ ¹⁹⁰ ¹⁹¹ ¹⁹² ¹⁹³ ¹⁹⁴ ¹⁹⁵ ¹⁹⁶ ¹⁹⁷ ¹⁹⁸ ¹⁹⁹ ²⁰⁰ ²⁰¹ ²⁰² ²⁰³ ²⁰⁴ ²⁰⁵ ²⁰⁶ ²⁰⁷ ²⁰⁸ ²⁰⁹ ²¹⁰ ²¹¹ ²¹² ²¹³ ²¹⁴ ²¹⁵ ²¹⁶ and dental students. ²¹⁷ ²¹⁸ ²¹⁹ ²¹⁹ Another area that has received much attention is the possibility of reproductive hazards to female dental personnel, including pregnancy risks, fertility issues, and menstrual cycle disorders.²²⁰ ²²¹ ²²² ²²³ ²²⁴ ²²⁵

In addition to the concerns about mercury levels in the dental office and the exposure of workers there, a variety of studies have called for protective measures to be taken in the dental office as a means of limiting mercury releases.²²⁶ ²²⁷ ²²⁸ ²²⁹ ²³⁰ ²³¹ ²³² ²³³ ²³⁴ ²³⁵ ²³⁶ ²³⁷ A study led by Dr. Robin Warwick and published in 2013 clarified: "To maximize safety, dental schools should train students to remove amalgam only while using water spray and high volume suction."²³⁸ More on the importance of safe removal of amalgam fillings is provided in the "Safe Removal of Existing Amalgam Fillings" section of this fact sheet.

"Provide pre-placement and periodic medical exams for those regularly exposed to mercury with emphasis directed to CNS-central nervous system, skin, lungs, liver, kidneys, and G.I. tract."²³⁹ --Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS), Safe Handling and Use Section, provided with dental amalgam product by Original D Wykle, 2002

4) Additional Considerations about Dental Amalgam and Human Health

Reference Exposure Level (REL)

[REL is a term used to denote the exposure level defined by national and international regulatory agencies at which there is an expectation of *no negative health outcomes* within the population.]

In a 2005 document, the World Health Organization (WHO) explained: "Recent studies suggest that mercury may have no threshold below which some adverse effects do not occur."²⁴⁰ Reports from the WHO and Canada's federal department of health (Health Canada) have also concluded that mercury vapor from dental amalgam is the greatest source of human exposure to mercury in non-industrial settings.²⁴¹ ²⁴² In 2003, the WHO reported: "Dental amalgam constitutes a potentially significant source of exposure to elemental mercury, with estimates of daily intake from amalgam restorations ranging from 1 to 27 ug[mcg]/day." ²⁴³

Dangers of exposure to dental mercury have been validated by other sources. In 2003, Dr. G. Mark Richardson presented a chart to Health Canada summarizing seventeen separate estimates of mercury exposure due to amalgams

in adults. If the U.S. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) minimal risk level (MRL) for non–occupational exposure in air standard is used, even one amalgam filling would expose the individual to more mercury than would be allowed by Dr. Richardson's proposed tolerable daily intake.²⁴⁴ Furthermore, in research published in 2011, Dr. Richardson reported that more than 67 million Americans aged two years and older exceed the intake of mercury vapor considered "safe" by the U.S. EPA due to the presence of dental amalgam fillings, whereas over 122 million Americans exceed the intake of mercury vapor considered "safe" by the California EPA due to their amalgam fillings.²⁴⁵

The issue of amalgam fillings contributing to human mercury exposures from fish consumption was reported in 2013 by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)'s Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). The EFSA Panel described the increased mercury danger from the combination of fish and dental amalgam: "The estimated exposure to inorganic mercury in Europe from the diet alone does not exceed the TWI [tolerable weekly intake]. Inhaled elemental mercury vapour from dental amalgam, which after absorption is converted to inorganic mercury, is an additional source that is likely to increase the internal inorganic mercury exposure; thus the TWI [tolerable weekly intake] might be exceeded."²⁴⁶

Methylmercury and Dental Amalgam

Bacteria in soil and water can convert inorganic mercury into methylmercury, which is considered by some researchers to be the most toxic form of mercury. When this form of the element is consumed by certain fish and shellfish, it impacts the entire food chain. Because of the health risks from this toxic contamination, pregnant women and children have been advised to restrict consumption of seafood that might contain elevated levels of methylmercury.^{247 248 249} The levels of methylmercury in waterbodies are thus an area of concern, and dental wastewater (discharges from dental offices) can contribute to these levels of methylmercury.²⁵⁰

The fish consumption advisories can assist consumers in avoiding *outside* sources of methlymercury; however, research has begun to explore how other forms of mercury might be altered into methylmercury *inside* the human body. In particular, several studies have documented the ability of mercury in the human system (such as that from amalgam fillings) to be transformed into methylmercury in the mouth²⁵¹ ²⁵² ²⁵³ ²⁵⁴ and by specific strains of yeast and bacteria in the gut.²⁵⁵ In 2001, authors of a scientific study postulated: "Our results are compatible with the hypothesis that amalgam fillings may be a continuous source of organic mercury, which is more toxic than inorganic mercury, and almost completely absorbed by the human intestine."²⁵⁶ Over a decade later, researchers of a study published in 2015 identified the need for more accurate risk assessment in this area and stated: "Because humans are exposed to inorganic Hg [mercury] (from dental amalgams, food, and industrial pollutants), potential Hg [mercury] methylation by the human gut microbiome would have tremendous health implications."²⁵⁷

Genetic Predisposition

The issue of genetic predisposition to specific, adverse effects from mercury exposure has also been examined in several studies. For example, researchers have associated neurobehavioral consequences from mercury exposure with a specific genetic polymorphism. Moreover, the researchers of a study published in 2006 linked the polymorphism, CPOX4 (for coproporphyrinogen oxidase, exon 4), to decreased visuomotor speed and indicators of depression in dental professionals.²⁵⁸ Furthermore, the CPOX4 genetic variation was identified as a factor for neurobehavioral issues in a study of children with dental amalgams. The researchers noted, "...among boys, numerous significant interaction effects between CPOX4 and Hg [mercury] were observed spanning all 5 domains of neurobehavioral performance...These findings are the first to demonstrate genetic susceptibility to the adverse neurobehavioral effects of Hg [mercury] exposure in children."²⁵⁹

The ability of these specific genetic variants to negatively impact the body's reaction to dental mercury exposure has even achieved attention in the mainstream media. A January 5, 2016, article by Greg Gordon of McClatchy News included interviews with some of the researchers of the studies mentioned above. Markedly, Dr. James Woods stated: "Twenty-five percent to 50 percent of people have these (genetic variants)."²⁶⁰ In the same article, Dr. Diana

Echeverria discussed "a lifetime risk" of neurological damage related to this population, and she elaborated: "We're not talking about a small risk."²⁶¹

Another area of genetic susceptibility in relation to dental mercury risk that has merited attention is the APOE4 (Apolipoprotein E4) genetic variation. A 2006 study found a correlation between individuals with APOE4 and chronic mercury toxicity.²⁶² The same study found that removal of dental amalgam fillings resulted in "significant symptom reduction," and one of the symptoms listed was memory loss. The symptom of memory loss is quite interesting, as APOE4 has also been associated with a higher risk for Alzheimer's disease.^{263 264 265} Importantly, the authors of a study which found a connection between number of mercury fillings and neurotoxic effects for those with APOE genotype explained: "APO-E genotyping warrants investigation as a clinically useful biomarker for those at increased risk of neuropathology, including AD [Alzheimer's disease], when subjected to long-term mercury exposures...An opportunity could now exist for primary health practitioners to help identify those at greater risk and possibly forestall subsequent neurological deterioration."²⁶⁶

Research has also shown that dental mercury fillings can play a role in immune system problems for genetically predisposed patients. Whereas research on animals has established a connection between dental mercury and autoimmunity,^{267 268} research involving human subjects has confirmed that genetic susceptibility to reactions from dental mercury is potentially related to chronic fatigue syndrome,²⁶⁹ as well as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, multiple sclerosis, and rheumatoid arthritis.²⁷⁰

In addition, scientific data has linked mercury and genetic traits to autism,^{271 272} chemical sensitivities,²⁷³ and Kawasaki's disease,²⁷⁴ and research has also suggested that genetic transporters could be involved in the toxicokinetics of mercury.²⁷⁵ Other than CPOX4 and APOE, genetic traits that have been examined for association with health impairments caused by mercury exposure include BDNF (brain-derived neurotropic factor),^{276 277 278} metallothionein (MT) polymorphisms, ^{279 280} catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) variants,²⁸¹ and MTHFR mutations and PON1 variants.²⁸² The authors of one of these studies concluded: "It is possible that elemental mercury may follow the history of lead, eventually being considered a neurotoxin at extremely low levels."²⁸³

Mercury allergies

A 1993 study reported that 3.9% of healthy subjects tested positive for metal reactions in general.²⁸⁴ If this figure is applied to the current U.S. population, this would mean that dental metal allergies potentially impact as many as 12.5 million Americans. Also pertinent is that, in 1972, the North American Contact Dermatitis Group determined that 5-8% of the U.S. population specifically demonstrated allergy to mercury by skin patch testing,²⁸⁵ which would amount to approximately 21 million Americans today. Yet, these figures could be even higher because recent studies and reports tend to agree that metal allergies are on the rise.^{286 287}

Since most patients are not tested for mercury allergies prior to dental amalgam exposure, this means that millions of Americans are unknowingly allergic to the fillings in their mouths. A 2011 article by Hosoki and Nishigawa explained why dentists should be educated about this possible side effect: "Current data indicate that practicing dentists need to obtain further specialized knowledge about dental metal allergy in order to ensure the correct treatment of patients in their clinics."²⁸⁸

Furthermore, ionization of metals appears to play a major role in allergies. While a "stable" metal is generally regarded as non-reactive, if ionization of the metal occurs, this can cause an allergic response. In the oral cavity, ionization can result from pH changes initiated by saliva and diet.²⁸⁹ The electrolytic conditions can also cause corrosion of the dental metals and generate electrical currents in a phenomenon known as oral galvanism.²⁹⁰ Not surprisingly, oral galvanism has been established as a factor in sensitivities to dental metals.²⁹¹ While the combination of mercury and gold has been recognized as the most common cause of dental galvanic corrosion, other metals used in dental restorations can similarly produce this effect.^{292 293 294}

However, one issue with calculating the number of patients suffering from a negative reaction to a metallic material is that the onset of symptoms can be delayed and therefore might not be associated with the exposure. Another issue is the wide-range of symptoms patients allergic to dental metals can exhibit.

Nonetheless, a gamut of health conditions has been linked to dental metal allergies. These include autoimmunity, ²⁹⁵ ²⁹⁶ chronic fatigue syndrome, ^{297 298 299} fibromyalgia, ^{300 301} metallic pigmentation, ³⁰² multiple chemical sensitivities, ³⁰³ ³⁰⁴ multiple sclerosis, ³⁰⁵ myalgic encephalitis, ³⁰⁶ oral lichenoid lesions, ^{307 308 309 310 311} orofacial granulomatosis, ³¹² and even infertility in both women and men. ³¹³

Co-existing Factors

Yet, even with the recognition that genetic susceptibility and metal allergies play a role in reactions to dental amalgam, research warns that there are most likely a variety of other factors tied into health risks of mercury.³¹⁴ As examples, in addition to genetic predisposition and metal allergies, the number of amalgam fillings in the mouth,^{315 316} ^{317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327} gender, ^{328 329 330 331 332} dental plaque,³³³ selenium levels,³³⁴ exposure to lead,^{335 336} ^{337 338} and consumption of milk^{339 340} or alcohol³⁴¹ can play a role in each person's unique response to mercury.

"...it [is] apparent that dental mercury amalgam and <u>all</u> dental restorative materials should be assessed for safety and biocompatibility with special consideration for <u>all</u> populations and <u>all</u> known risk factors. This can also be applied to the evaluation of any health risk, especially because science continues to prove that each person has a unique and personalized set of reactions to substances taken into the human body."³⁴² Kall, Just & Aschner (IAOMT),

"What's the risk? Dental amalgam, mercury exposure, and human health risks throughout the lifespan," Book Chapter in <u>Epigenetics, the Environment, and Children's Health across Lifespans</u>, 2016

Suggested Solutions to Mercury Risks Caused by Dental Amalgam:

Since some countries have successfully eliminated dental mercury, banning mercury from dentistry has already proven to be both feasible and economical. Regarding the worldwide phase-down on dental amalgam, a 2014 document from WHO and UNEP included the following statement: "The environmental impacts of dental amalgam can be sustainably avoided by phasing down the use of dental amalgam as a restorative material and switching to quality mercury-free alternatives."³⁴³ However, various considerations should be part of any effort to end the use of mercury in dental amalgam...

1) Amalgam Separators

Amalgam separators can successfully reduce the amount of mercury discharge in wastewater from dental offices.³⁴⁴ ³⁴⁵ In fact, a 2014 article about the issue written by mercury recyclers qualified: "Capture efficiency rates for mercury by amalgam separators range between 95-99%. If amalgam separators were ubiquitously installed in dental practices across the U.S., the estimated discharge of 6.5 tons of mercury to POTWs in the U.S. would be reduced to approximately 0.3 tons (Vandeven & McGinnis, 2005)."³⁴⁶

Because of this reality, the U.S. EPA has utilized measures in the Clean Water Act to develop standards for dental clinics to reduce mercury releases. While these standards have not yet been enacted, a 2014 document from the EPA described the proposed changes: "The proposal would require dental practices to comply with requirements for controlling the discharge of dental amalgam pollutants into POTWs based on the best available technology or best available control technology and Best Management Practices."³⁴⁷

Enforced standards that require amalgam separators are essential in stopping mercury from entering the environment, especially because voluntary initiatives have often been unsuccessful.³⁴⁸ However, even if standards are required, it would be helpful to likewise enforce maintenance requirements for amalgam separators, as the Royal College of Dental Surgeons has done in Ontario, Canada.³⁴⁹ It should also be remembered that amalgam separators only contribute to solving the problem of dental mercury in wastewater and not the additional burdens placed by amalgam fillings on the environment and human health.

2) Alternatives to Amalgam as a Filling Material

Alternatives to amalgam include composite resin, glass ionomer, porcelain, and gold, among other options. Most consumers choose direct composite fillings because the white coloring matches the tooth better and the cost is considered moderate.

In the past, a common argument against composite fillings was that they were not as durable as amalgam. However, recent studies have debunked this claim. Researchers of a study which was published in 2016 and conducted on over 76,000 patients for over ten years found that posterior amalgam fillings had a *higher* annual failure rate than composites.³⁵⁰ Two separate studies published in 2013 found that composite fillings performed as well as amalgam when comparing failure rates³⁵¹ and replacement filling rates.³⁵² Other research has offered similar findings: a study published in 2015 documented "good clinical performance" of composite resins over a 30-year evaluation,³⁵³ a meta-analysis published in 2014 noted "good survival" of posterior resin composite restorations,³⁵⁴ a study published in 2012 showed certain types of composite materials last as long as amalgam,³⁵⁵ and a study published in 2011 found "good clinical performance" of composite restorations,³⁵⁴ a study published in 2011 found "good clinical performance" of composite restorations,³⁵⁴ a study published in 2012 showed certain types of composite materials last as long as amalgam,³⁵⁵ and a study published in 2011 found "good clinical performance" of composite restorations,³⁵⁴ and study published in 2011 found

Composite fillings have also been criticized because some of them contain the controversial material bisphenol-A (BPA). Dentists have a variety of opinions about the safety of BPA and other types of bisphenol, such as Bis-GMA and Bis-DMA. Patients who are concerned about bisphenol-containing dental material often choose to speak with their dentists about using a material that does not contain the ingredient. For example, a product named Admira Fusion³⁵⁷/Admira Fusion X-tra³⁵⁸ released in January 2016 by the dental company VOCO is being touted as "the first purely ceramic-based restorative material"³⁵⁹ and does not contain Bis-GMA or BPA before or after it has been cured.

Another option for dental patients concerned about which mercury-free alternative to use as a filling material is to do their own research and/or take a dental biocompatibility test. If biological testing is used, a patient's blood sample is sent to a laboratory where the serum is evaluated for the presence of IgG and IgM antibodies to the chemical ingredients used in dental products.³⁶⁰ The patient is then provided with a detailed list of which name-brand dental materials are safe for their use and which ones could result in a reaction. Two labs that currently offer this service are Biocomp Laboratories³⁶¹ and Clifford Consulting and Research.³⁶²

Also, in regards to dental allergies, Dr. Stejskal introduced the MELISA test in 1994. This is a modified version of the (Lymphocyte Transformation Test) LLT designed to test for metal sensitivity type IV delayed hypersensitivity to metals, including sensitivity to mercury.³⁶³ There is promising research that suggests in some cases, patients improve or are cured of their reactions after removal of the material suspected to be causing the allergy. A few examples of conditions reportedly improved and/or cured as a result of removing dental metal allergens include amyotrophic lateral sclerosis,³⁶⁴ chronic fatigue syndrome, ³⁶⁵ dermatitis,³⁶⁶ fibromyalgia,³⁶⁷ multiple sclerosis,³⁶⁸ oral lichen planus, ^{369 370 371} oral lichenoid lesion, ^{372 373 374} orofacial granulomatosis,³⁷⁵ and other symptoms.³⁷⁶ In their 2011 report, Hosoki and Nishigawa suggested: "In principle, all restorations with allergy-positive metal elements need to be removed."³⁷⁷

3) Safe Removal of Existing Amalgam Fillings

Although individual response varies, in addition to the recovery situations listed above, research has documented the reduction of other health issues after the removal of amalgam fillings.³⁷⁸ ³⁷⁹ ³⁸⁰ ³⁸¹ ³⁸² ³⁸³ ³⁸⁴ ³⁸⁵ ³⁸⁶ ³⁸⁷ ³⁸⁸ However, it is important to note that removal of any dental material requires a number of precautions.

Essentially, an unsafe amalgam removal process releases mercury vapor and particles that can be harmful to the patient, the dentist, the dental staff, and the environment.³⁸⁹ ³⁹⁰ ³⁹¹ ³⁹² ³⁹³ ³⁹⁴ ³⁹⁵ ³⁹⁶ Obviously, the danger to the patient is increased since mercury is being released directly into the mouth and lungs.

To assist in mitigating the potential negative outcomes of mercury exposure to dental professionals, students, staff members, patients, and others, the IAOMT has developed new safety recommendations for removal of existing dental mercury amalgam fillings.³⁹⁷ The IAOMT's innovative recommendations build upon traditional safe amalgam

removal techniques such as the use of masks, water irrigation, and high volume suction by supplementing these conventional strategies with a number of additional protective measures, the need for which have only recently been identified in scientific research. IAOMT's Safe Mercury Amalgam Removal Technique (SMART) is located online at https://iaomt.org/safe-removal-amalgam-fillings/.³⁹⁸ Patients might want to familiarize themselves with the recommendations to ensure that these protective strategies will be applied during the amalgam removal process.

4) Educating Dentists

While some dentists have already stopped using amalgam, others require training in mercury-free dentistry [dentistry that does not place any new mercury amalgam fillings]. Since some countries have banned or drastically reduced the use of dental mercury, their dental schools shed light upon how to make a successful transition away from amalgam.

Yet, it must also be recognized that all dentists (even mercury-free dentists) must remove dental mercury amalgam fillings that are already in the mouths of their patients for a variety of reasons ranging from device failure to consumer request. This means that many dentists and dental students require training in mercury-safe dentistry [dentistry that utilizes protective measures when removing existing mercury amalgam fillings]. Essentially, properly applied protection techniques can minimize mercury exposure to dental workers, dental students, patients, fetuses, and other susceptible and sensitive populations.

For this reason, the IAOMT has developed a rigorous Safe Mercury Amalgam Removal Technique (SMART). More information on SMART is located in the section of this fact sheet entitled and "Safe Removal of Existing Amalgam Fillings" and online at <u>https://iaomt.org/safe-removal-amalgam-fillings/</u>.³⁹⁹

The IAOMT has also developed free, online dental education resources detailing implementation strategies for mercury-free and mercury-safe practices, including information for dentists, physicians, health care professionals, patients, and the general public. These resources include the following:

- Dental mercury education videos: <u>https://iaomt.org/free-online-learning/</u>
- Position statement against dental mercury amalgam fillings: <u>https://iaomt.org/iaomt-position-paper-dental-mercury-amalgam/</u>
- Patient resources: <u>https://iaomt.org/for-patients/</u>; Professional resources: <u>https://iaomt.org/for-professionals/</u>
- More resources available at <u>www.iaomt.org</u>

5) Economic Perspective

In a report entitled "The Economics of Dental Amalgam Regulation," the authors noted that amalgam use is already declining and that restrictions on mercury are inevitable.⁴⁰⁰ The authors concluded, "We can then make the case that the overall health care expenditures necessary to deal with diseases and conditions, known or unknown, arising from the continued installation of amalgam could far exceed the relatively manageable cost increases to the consumer for the alternatives...This is not to mention the cost to the U.S. economy of lost work time owing to concomitant illness and disability."⁴⁰¹

However, from a consumer standpoint, some insurance companies only cover the cost of dental mercury amalgam fillings, which means that oftentimes patients have to pay additional fees for alternative materials and techniques.⁴⁰² Changes could be seen in this scenario, though, because the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP)'s 2013 "Minamata Convention on Mercury," signed by over 100 nations including the U.S., specifically discourages insurance policies and programs favoring dental mercury amalgam use over mercury-free dental restoration.⁴⁰³

Additionally, since some countries have successfully eliminated dental mercury amalgam, ending the use of mercury in dentistry has already proven to be both feasible and economical.

"Dental treatment without mercury is becoming the norm."⁴⁰⁴ --Carsten Lassen and Jakob Maag, Nordic Council of Ministers, INC1, June 2010

Endnotes:

Consideration. 2003:19.

leann Canada. The sajery of Deniai Amaigam. 1990. 5. Available from Heann Canada web site: <u>http://www.nc-sc.gc.ca/dnp-inps/ait_formats/hpto-</u>
<u>psa/pdf/md-im/dent_amalgam-eng.pdf</u> . Accessed December 15, 2015.
inited Nations Environment Programme. Minamata Convention on Mercury: Text and Annexes. 2013: 48. Available from
IEP's Minamata Convention on Mercury Web site:
p://www.mercuryconvention.org/Portals/11/documents/Booklets/Minamata%20Convention%20on%20Mercury_booklet_English.p
Accessed December 15, 2015.
dinistry of the Environment, Norway, Minister of the Environment and International Development Erik Solheim: Bans mercury in products [Press
ease] 2007 December 21 Available from Government of Norway Web site: https://www.regieringen.no/en/aktuelt/Bans-mercury-in-products/id495
December 15 2015
wadish Chemicals Arency. The Swedish Chemicals Agency's chemical products and higterchnical organisms regulations. (KIES 2008, 2 in English
visit during an specie for the Sweatsh Chemicals Agency's chemical products and oroceninical organisms regulations. (REI 5 2000, 2 in English,
ISO Intelligence Service. Study on the notantial for reducing mercury pollution from dental amalgem and betteriog. Final Percent prepared for the
Sto interrigence service, study on the potential to reducing inercury pondulon from denta analgan and oateries. Final Report prepared for the
ropean Commission- DG ENV. 2012. Fage 188. Available from the European Commission web site:
p://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/mercury/pdi/iniai report 110/12.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.
SiO intelligence Service. Study on the potential for reducing mercury pollution from dental amagam and batteries. Final Report prepared for the
ropean Commission- DG ENV. 2012. Page 40. Available from the European Commission Web site:
p://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/mercury/pdf/inal_report_110/12.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.
Health and Environment Alliance and Health Care without Harm. Mercury and dental amalgams [fact sheet]. 2007. Page 3. Available from Health
vironment Alliance Web site: <u>http://www.env-health.org/IMG/pdf/HEA_009-07.pdf</u> . Accessed December 15, 2015.
Jnited States Food and Drug Administration. About dental fillings: potential risks. Last updated 2 February 2015. Available from FDA Web site:
p://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ProductsandMedicalProcedures/DentalProducts/DentalAmalgam/ucm171094.htm. Accessed December 15, 2015.
nternational Academy of Oral Medicine and Toxicology. Lawsuit filed today against FDA for failing to address risks of mercury in dental fillings [p
ease]. ChampionsGate, FL: International Academy of Oral Medicine and Toxicology. March 5, 2014. Available from IAOMT Web site:
ps://iaomt.org/lawsuit-filed-today-fda-failing-address-risks-mercury-dental-fillings/. Accessed January 25, 2016.
FDA Safety Communication: Reducing Exposure to Mercury Vapor Released from Dental Amalgam ("Silver Fillings"). January XX, 2012. Availab
m IAOMT Web site: <u>https://iaomt.org/text-of-fdas-actual-2012-amalgam-safety-proposal/</u> . Accessed January 25, 2016.
Jnited Nations Environment Programme. Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport. Geneva,
itzerland: UNEP Chemicals Branch; 2013: 10. Available from UNEP Web site.
p://www.unep.org/PDF/PressReleases/GlobalMercuryAssessment2013.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.
Wilburn DR. Changing patterns in the use, recycling, and material substitution of mercury in the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific
restigations Report 2013–5137. 2013. 32 p. Available from: http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2013/5137/. Accessed January 22, 2016.
Vandeven JA, McGinnis SL. An assessment of mercury in the form of amalgam in dental wastewater in the United States. Water, Air, and Soil Pollu.
05; 164(1-4):349.
Scarmoutzos L, Boyd M, OE: Environmental and Toxicological Concerns of Dental Amalgam and Mercury, Northboro, MA: MVS Solutions. Inc. ar
meteX, Inc.; 2003: See Table 2 on pages 36-37. Available from MVS Solutions Web site: http://www.mvssolutions.com/mercury.pdf. Accessed
cember 15, 2015.
le Cerreño AL, Panero M, Boehme S, Pollution Prevention and Management Strategies for Mercury in the New York/New Jersey Harbor, New York
w York Academy of Sciences: 2002: 35.
Inited States Environmental Protection Agency. Effluent Limitation Guidelines and Standards for the Dental Category Mercury in Dental Amalgam.
21-F-14-002 September 2014 Available from EPA Website: http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-06/documents/dental-category-
theet proposed rule 2014 ndf Accessed December 15, 2015
arose P. Position Paper, IAOMT Environmental Committee: 2011 Available from IAOMT Web site: https://iaomt.org/wp-content/uploads/article
Environment ndf Accessed December 15 2015
Baloch S. Liang L. Mercury nathways in municipal wastewater treatment plants. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 1995; 80(1-4):1181-90
Jealth & Environment Alliance and Health Care Without Harm. Chapter 2: Mercury pollution: where does it come from? Stay Healthy Stop Mercury
magine 2007: 24 Available from Health and Environment Alliance Web site: http://www.env.bealth.org/IMC/ndf/moreury_chapter2.pdf_Accesse
$mpmign, 2007, 24$. Available from realin and Environment Annance web site: $\frac{mtp://www.env-nearm.org/nvtO/put/mercury_chapter2.pdf}{2.pdf}$. Accesse

http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/medicalwaste/mercurypolpaper.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015. ³ Pirrone N, Mason R. Mercury Fate and Transport in the Global Atmosphere: Emissions, Measurements, and Models. New York, New York: Springer. 2009: 166.

⁴ United States Environmental Protection Agency. International Mercury Market Study and the Role and Impact of US Environmental Policy. 2004.

¹ Berlin M. Mercury in dental-filling materials-an updated risk analysis in environmental medical terms. The Dental Material Commission-Care and

⁵ Heintze SD, Rousson V. Clinical effectiveness of direct Class II restorations—a meta-analysis. J Adhes Dent. 2012; 14(5):407-431.

⁶ Makhija SK, Gordan VV, Gilbert GH, Litaker MS, Rindal DB, Pihlstrom DJ, Gvist V. Dental practice-based research network restorative material: Findings from the characteristics associated with type of practitioner, patient and carious lesion. J Am Dent Assoc. 2011; 142: 622-632.

⁷ Simececk JW, Diefenderfer KE, Cohen ME. An evaluation of replacement rates for posterior resin-based composite and amalgam restorations in U.S. Navy and Marine recruits. J Am Dent Assoc. 2009; 140 (2): 207.

⁸ Health Canada. The Safety of Dental Amalgam. 1996: 3. Available from Health Canada Web site: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/dbp_mps/alt_formats/bpfb dg

² World Health Organization. Mercury in Health Care [policy paper]. August 2005: 1. Available from WHO Web site:

10 N 138/. rele Ac

²³ L EPA - 82 fac

24 I 02-03-

²⁶ F ry d Ca December 15, 2015.

²⁷ Cited as American Metropolitan Sewage Agencies. *Mercury Pollution Prevention Program* (submitted by Larry Walker Associates). 2001. in Larose P, Basciano M. Dental mercury and Norway. Journal of Dental Research. 2008; 87(5): 413. Available from http://idr.sagepub.com/content/87/5/413.extract. Accessed December 15, 2015.

²⁸ Björkman L, Sandborgh-Englund G, Ekstrand J. Mercury in saliva and feces after removal of amalgam fillings. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*. 1997; 144(1):156-62. Abstract available from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0041008X9798128X. Accessed December 15, 2015.
 ²⁹ Larose P. *Position Paper*. IAOMT Environmental Committee; 2011. Available from IAOMT Web site: https://iaomt.org/wp-content/uploads/article_02-03-Environment.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.

³⁰ Skare I, Engqvist A. Human exposure to mercury and silver released from dental amalgam restorations. *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal.* 1994; 49(5): 392-3.

³¹ Skare I, Engqvist A. Human exposure to mercury and silver released from dental amalgam restorations. *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal.* 1994; 49(5): 392-3.

³² Silbernagel SM, Carpenter DO, Gilbert SG, Gochfeld M, Groth E, Hightower JM, Schiavone FM. Recognizing and preventing overexposure to methylmercury from fish and seafood consumption: information for physicians. Journal of Toxicology. 2011. Available from http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jt/2011/983072/. Accessed December 15, 2015.

³³ Hylander LD, Goodsite ME. Environmental costs of mercury pollution. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2006; 368(1):366.

³⁴ United Nations Environment Programme. *Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport.* Geneva, Switzerland: UNEP Chemicals Branch; 2013: 10. Available from UNEP Web site.

http://www.unep.org/PDF/PressReleases/GlobalMercuryAssessment2013.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.

³⁵ Ziff S, Ziff MF. Dental mercury—an environmental hazard: other sources of dental mercury environmental contamination. *BioProbe Newsletter*. September 1992; 8(5):4. Available from: <u>http://www.keytoxins.com/hgbiblio-files/ziffs/bioprobe/Bioprobe 1992 Sept Volume8 Issue5.pdf</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

³⁶ Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra, UK). *Mercury Emissions from Crematoria. Consultation on an Assessment by the Environment Agency's Local Authority Unit.* 2003: 2.

³⁷ National Funeral Directors Association. What impact will the EPA Clean Air Act rule have on crematories? June 2013 Update. Available from National Funeral Directors Association Web site: <u>http://nfda.org/additional-tools-cremation/2631-what-impact-will-the-new-epa-proposal-have-on-crematories.html</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

³⁸ Christiansen P, Larson M. Mercury removal prior to cremation: a collaboration of dentistry and mortuary science to prevent environmental contamination. Available from: <u>http://www.thefreelibrary.com/Mercury+removal+prior+to+cremation%3A+a+collaboration+of+dentistry+and...-a0216339047</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

³⁹ Culross M. Lawsuit alleges East Oakland air too polluted to allow crematorium. 5 KPIX CBS San Francisco. 2013 December 12. Available from: http://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2013/12/12/lawsuit-alleges-east-oakland-air-too-polluted-to-allow-crematorium/. Accessed December 15, 2015.
 ⁴⁰ Chea T. Cremation pollution?: neighbors nervous. *MSNBC and Associated Press.* 2007 January 16. Available from MSNBC Web site:

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/16656749/ns/us_news-environment/t/cremation-pollution-neighbors-nervous/. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁴¹ Cited as American Dental Hygienists' Association. Dental characteristics of the older adult. Available at http://www.adha.org/CE_courses/course11/ characteristics.htm. Accessed Apr. 2009.

in Christiansen P, Larson M. Mercury removal prior to cremation: a collaboration of dentistry and mortuary science to prevent environmental contamination. Available from: <u>http://www.thefreelibrary.com/Mercury+removal+prior+to+cremation%3A+a+collaboration+of+dentistry+and...-a0216339047</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁴² Mari M, Domingo JL. Toxic emissions from crematories: a review. Environment international. 2010; 36(1):137. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Montse Mari/publication/26888045 Toxic emissions from crematories a review/links/54353dc70cf2dc341dafb6d6.p df. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁴³ Hylander LD, Goodsite ME. Environmental costs of mercury pollution. Science of the Total Environment. 2006; 368(1):366.

⁴⁴ Stone ME, Cohen ME, Debban BA. Mercury vapor levels in exhaust air from dental vacuum systems. *Dental Materials*. 2007; 23(5):527-32. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0109564106000881</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁴⁵ Rubin PG, Yu MH. Mercury vapor in amalgam waste discharged from dental office vacuum units. *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal*. 1996; 51(4):335-7. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00039896.1996.9936036</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.
 ⁴⁶ Stone ME, Cohen ME, Debban BA. Mercury vapor levels in exhaust air from dental vacuum systems. *Dental Materials*. 2007; 23(5):527-32. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0109564106000881</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁴⁷ Rubin PG, Yu MH. Mercury vapor in amalgam waste discharged from dental office vacuum units. *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal*. 1996; 51(4):335-7. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00039896.1996.9936036</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.
 ⁴⁸ Khwaja MA, Abbasi MS, Mehmood F, Jahangir S. Study of high levels indoor air mercury contamination from mercury amalgam use in dentistry. *Sci. Tech. and Dev*. 2014; 33 (2): 94.

⁴⁹ Many scientific studies support this fact, but one example of this being reported from a reputable government agency is Health Canada. *The Safety of Dental Amalgam.* 1996: 4. Available from Health Canada Web site: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/dhp-mps/alt_formats/hpfb-dgpsa/pdf/md-im/dent_amalgam-eng.pdf</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁵⁰ Al-Saleh I, Al-Sedairi A. Mercury (Hg) burden in children: The impact of dental amalgam. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011; 409(16):3003-3015. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969711004359</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁵¹ Barregård L. Biological monitoring of exposure to mercury vapor. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health.* 1993:45-9. Available from: <u>http://www.sjweh.fi/download.php?abstract_id=1532&%3Bfile_nro=1&origin=publication_detail</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

⁵² Boyd ND, Benediktsson H, Vimy MJ, Hooper DE, Lorscheider FL. Mercury from dental "silver" tooth fillings impairs sheep kidney function. *Am J Physiol.* 1991; 261(4 Pt 2):R1010-4. Abstract available from: <u>http://aipregu.physiology.org/content/261/4/R1010.short</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.
 ⁵³ Danscher G, Hørsted-Bindslev P, Rungby J. Traces of mercury in organs from primates with amalgam fillings. *Experimental and Molecular Pathology*. 1990; 52(3):291-9. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/001448009090070T</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

⁵⁴ Fredin B. The distribution of mercury in various tissues of guinea-pigs after application of dental amalgam fillings (a pilot study). *Sci Total Environ*. 1987; 66: 263-268. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969787900933</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁵⁵ Fredin B, Krabisch L. In vitro investigation of the possible influence of inorganic mercury and hydrogen peroxide on the formation of peroxides in a polyunsaturated fatty acid system (linoleic acid). *The International Journal of Risk & Safety in Medicine*. 1992; 4(1):19-25. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/23511174. Accessed December 18, 2015. ⁵⁶ Godfrey ME, Wojcik DP, Krone CA. Apolipoprotein E genotyping as a potential biomarker for mercury neurotoxicity. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2003; 5(3):189-195. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12897404</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

⁵⁷ Hahn LJ, Kloiber R, Vimy MJ, Takahashi Y, Lorscheider FL. Dental" silver" tooth fillings: a source of mercury exposure revealed by whole-body image scan and tissue analysis. *The FASEB Journal*. 1989; 3(14):2641-6. Available from: <u>http://www.fasebj.org/content/3/14/2641.full.pdf</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

⁵⁸ Hahn LJ, Kloiber R, Leininger RW, Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL. Whole-body imaging of the distribution of mercury released from dental fillings into monkey tissues. The *FASEB Journal*. 1990; 4(14):3256-60.

⁵⁹ Haley BE. Mercury toxicity: genetic susceptibility and synergistic effects. *Medical Vertias*. 2005; 2(2): 535-542.

Hanson M, Pleva J. The dental amalgam issue. A review. Experientia. 1991; 47(1):9-22. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jaro_Pleva/publication/21157262_The_dental_amalgam_issue._A_review/links/00b7d513fabdda29fa000000.pdf. Accessed December 18, 2015.

⁶⁰ Krausß P, Deyhle M, Maier KH, Roller E, Weiß HD, Clédon P. Field study on the mercury content of saliva. *Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry*. 1997; 63, (1-4):29-46. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02772249709358515#.VnM7_PkrIgs</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁶¹ Martin MD, Naleway C, Chou HN. Factors contributing to mercury exposure in dentists. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1995; 126(11):1502-1511. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0002817715607851</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁶² Molin M, Bergman B, Marklund SL, Schütz A, Skerfving S. Mercury, selenium, and glutathione peroxidase before and after amalgam removal in man. *Acta Odontologica*. 1990;48(3):189-202. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3109/00016359009005875?journalCode=iode20</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

⁶³ Mortada WL, Sobh MA, El-Defrawi, MM, Farahat SE. Mercury in dental restoration: is there a risk of nephrotoxity? *J Nephrol.* 2002; 15(2): 171-176. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/12018634</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁶⁴ Redhe O, Pleva J. Recovery of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and from allergy after removal of dental amalgam fillings. *Int J Risk & Safety in Med.* 1994; 4(3): 229-236. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jaro Pleva/publication/235899060 Recovery from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and from allergy after removal o <u>f dental amalgam fillings/links/0fcfd513f4c3e10807000000.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁶⁵ Richardson GM. Inhalation of mercury-contaminated particulate matter by dentists: an overlooked occupational risk. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment.* 2003; 9(6): 1519-1531. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10807030390251010#.VnNn0vkrIgs</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

⁶⁶ Richardson GM, Brecher RW, Scobie H, Hamblen J, Samuelian J, Smith C. Mercury vapour (Hg(0)): Continuing toxicological uncertainties, and establishing a Canadian reference exposure level. *Regul Toxicol Pharmicol.* 2009; 53(1):32-38. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0273230008002304. Accessed December 17, 2015.

⁶⁷ Snapp KR, Boyer DB, Peterson LC, Svare CW. The contribution of dental amalgam to mercury in blood. Journal of Dental Research. 1989; 68(5):780-5. Abstract available from: <u>http://jdr.sagepub.com/content/68/5/780.short</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

⁶⁸ Summers AO, Wireman J, Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL, Marshall B, Levy SB, Bennet S, Billard L. Mercury released from dental 'silver' fillings provokes an increase in mercury- and antibiotic- resistant bacteria in oral and intestinal flora of primates. *Antimicrob Agents and Chemother*. 1993; 37(4): 825-834. Available from http://aac.asm.org/content/37/4/825.full.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁶⁹ Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL. Clinical Science Intra-oral Air Mercury Released from Dental Amalgam. *Journal of Dental Research*. 1985; 64(8):1069-71. Abstract available from: <u>http://jdr.sagepub.com/content/64/8/1069.short</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

⁷⁰ Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL. Serial measurements of intra-oral air mercury: estimation of daily dose from dental amalgam. *Journal of Dental Research*. 1985; 64(8):1072-5. Abstract available from: <u>http://jdr.sagepub.com/content/64/8/1072.short</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

⁷¹ Scientific studies support this fact, but one example of this being reported from a reputable U.S. environmental group State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection. Fillings: the choices you have: mercury amalgam and other filling materials [brochure]. 2006: 3. Available from Connecticut's Official State Web site: http://www.ct.gov/deep/lib/deep/mercury/gen_info/fillings_brochure.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁷² Scientific studies support this fact, but another example of this being reported from a reputable U.S. environmental group is Advisory Committee on Mercury Pollution. Dental amalgam fillings: environmental and health facts for dental patients [dental fact sheet]. 2010: 1. Available from the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation Mercury Education & Reduction Campaign Web site:

http://www.mercvt.org/PDF/DentalAmalgamFactSheet.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁷³ Dunn JE, Trachtenberg FL, Barregard L, Bellinger D, McKinlay S. Scalp hair and urine mercury content of children in the Northeast United States: the New England Children's Amalgam Trial. *Environmental Research*. 2008; 107(1):79-88. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2464356/. Accessed December 17, 2015.

⁷⁴ Isacsson G, Barregård L, Seldén A, Bodin L. Impact of nocturnal bruxism on mercury uptake from dental amalgams. *European Journal of Oral Sciences*. 1997; 105(3):251-7. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1600-0722.1997.tb00208.x/abstract</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

 ⁷⁵ Sällsten G, Thoren J, Barregård L, Schütz A, Skarping G. Long-term use of nicotine chewing gum and mercury exposure from dental amalgam fillings. *Journal of Dental Research*. 1996; 75(1):594-8. Abstract available from: <u>http://jdr.sagepub.com/content/75/1/594.short</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.
 ⁷⁶ Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL. Clinical Science Intra-oral Air Mercury Released from Dental Amalgam. *Journal of Dental Research*. 1985; 64(8):1069-71. Abstract available from: <u>http://jdr.sagepub.com/content/64/8/1069.short</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

⁷⁷ Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL. Serial measurements of intra-oral air mercury: estimation of daily dose from dental amalgam. *Journal of Dental Research*. 1985; 64(8):1072-5. Abstract available from: <u>http://jdr.sagepub.com/content/64/8/1072.short</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

⁷⁸ Health Canada. *The Safety of Dental Amalgam*. 1996: 4. Available from Health Canada Web site: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/dhp-mps/alt_formats/hpfb-dgpsa/pdf/md-im/dent_amalgam-eng.pdf</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁷⁹ Karahalil B, Rahravi H, Ertas N. Examination of urinary mercury levels in dentists in Turkey. *Hum Exp Toxicol.* 2005; 24(8):383-388. Abstract available from: <u>http://het.sagepub.com/content/24/8/383.short</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁸⁰ Lönnroth EC, Shahnavaz H. Dental clinics--a burden to environment? *Swed Dent J.* 1996; 20(5):173. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/9000326</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015. ⁸¹ Martin MD, Naleway C, Chou HN. Factors contributing to mercury exposure in dentists. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1995; 126(11):1502-1511. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0002817715607851</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁸² Nimmo A, Werley MS, Martin JS, Tansy MF. Particulate inhalation during the removal of amalgam restorations. *J Prosth Dent.* 1990; 63(2):228-33. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/002239139090110X</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁸³ Oliveira MT, Constantino HV, Molina GO, Milioli E, Ghizoni JS, Pereira JR. Evaluation of mercury contamination in patients and water during amalgam removal. *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*. 2014; 15(2):165. Abstract available from:

http://search.proquest.com/openview/c9e4c284ca7b3fd3779621692411875c/1?pq-origsite=gscholar. Accessed December 18, 2015. ⁸⁴ Richardson GM. Inhalation of mercury-contaminated particulate matter by dentists: an overlooked occupational risk. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*, 2003; 9(6); 1519-1531.

⁸⁵ Warwick R, O Connor A, Lamey B. Sample size = 25 for each mercury vapor exposure during dental student training in amalgam removal. *J Occup Med Toxicol*. 2013; 8(1):27. Available from: <u>http://download.springer.com/static/pdf/203/art%253A10.1186%252F1745-6673-8-</u>

27.pdf?originUrl=http%3A%2F%2Foccup-med.biomedcentral.com%2Farticle%2F10.1186%2F1745-6673-8-

27&token2=exp=1450380047~acl=%2Fstatic%2Fpdf%2F203%2Fart%25253A10.1186%25252F1745-6673-8-

27.pdf*~hmac=6ae07046977e264c2d8d25ff12a5600a2b3d4b4f5090fbff92ce459bd389326d. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁸⁶ United States of America Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health Medical Devices Committee. Dental Products Panel [transcript]. December 15, 2010: 27. Transcript available from FDA Web site:

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/MedicalDevices/MedicalDevicesAdvisoryCommittee/DentalProductsPan el/UCM242363.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁸⁷ World Health Organization. Mercury in Health Care [policy paper]. August 2005: 1. Available from WHO Web site:

http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/medicalwaste/mercurypolpaper.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁸⁸ Dental mercury use banned in Norway, Sweden and Denmark because composites are adequate replacements. *Reuters/PRNewswire-USNewswire Online*. 2008 January 3.

⁸⁹ Ministry of the Environment, Norway. (2007, December 21). *Minister of the Environment and International Development Erik Solheim: Bans mercury in products* [Press release]. Retrieved from Government of Norway Web site: <u>https://www.regjeringen.no/en/aktuelt/Bans-mercury-in-products/id495138/</u>.
 ⁹⁰ Swedish Chemicals Agency. (2008). The Swedish Chemicals Agency's chemical products and biotechnical organisms regulations. (KIFS 2008: 2 in English, consolidated up to KIFS 2012: 3).

⁹¹ BIO Intelligence Service. (2012). Study on the potential for reducing mercury pollution from dental amalgam and batteries. Final Report prepared for the European Commission- DG ENV. Retrieved from the European Commission Web site: <u>http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/mercury/pdf/final_report_110712.pdf</u>.

⁹² Health and Environment Alliance and Health Care without Harm. (2007, May). *Mercury and dental amalgams* [Fact sheet]. Retrieved from Health and Environment Alliance Web site: <u>http://www.env-health.org/IMG/pdf/HEA_009-07.pdf</u>

⁹³ Watson D, and 18 other members of Congress. Dear Acting Commissioner Dr. Joshua Sharfstein...[Congressional letter] Washington, D.C. 2009 May 14. Copy of letter available upon request to john.donnelly@mail.house.gov

⁹⁴ Watson, D (Congresswoman). Mercury in Dental Filling Disclosure and Prohibition Act. Los Angeles, CA. 2001 November 5. Available from: <u>http://amalgamillness.com/Text_DCAct.html</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁹⁵ Björnberg KA, Vahter M, Petersson-Grawe K, Glynn A, Cnattingius S, Darnerud PO, Atuma S, Aune M, Becker W, Berglund M. Methyl mercury and inorganic mercury in Swedish pregnant women and in cord blood: influence of fish consumption. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2003 Apr;111(4):637– 41. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1241457/pdf/ehp0111-000637.pdf&sa=X&scisig=AAGBfm29zmnT2SVYZIpUY1-</u> xFZOaZbpMQ&oi=scholarr&ei=zFOKT7TVKJDa0QXU3cm3CQ&sgi=2&ved=0CCcQgAMoADAA. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁹⁶ Palkovicova L, Ursinyova M, Masanova V, Yu Z, Hertz-Picciotto I. Maternal amalgam dental fillings as the source of mercury exposure in developing fetus and newborn. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*. 2008; 18(3):326-331. Available from: <u>http://www.nature.com/jes/journal/v18/n3/full/7500606a.html</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁹⁷ Ask K, Akesson A, Berglund M, Vahter M. Inorganic mercury and methylmercury in placentas of Swedish women. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2002; 110(5):523-6. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1240842/pdf/ehp0110-000523.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁹⁸ Drasch G, Schupp I, Hofl H, Reinke R, Roider G. Mercury burden of human fetal and infant tissues. *Eur J Pediatr.* 1994; 153(8):607–10. Abstract available from: <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02190671</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

⁹⁹ Lutz E, Lind B, Herin P, Krakau I, Bui TH, Vahter M. Concentrations of mercury, cadmium and lead in brain and kidney of second trimester fetuses and infants. *J Trace Elem Med Biol.* 1996; 10(2):61–7. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0946672X96800137</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁰⁰ Drasch G, Schupp I, Hofl H, Reinke R, Roider G. Mercury burden of human fetal and infant tissues. *Eur J Pediatr.* 1994; 153(8):607–10. Abstract available from: <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02190671</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁰¹ Lindow SW, Knight R, Batty J, Haswell SJ. Maternal and neonatal hair mercury concentrations: the effect of dental amalgam. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2003; 23(S1):S48-S49. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Robert Knight4/publication/10864434 Maternal and neonatal hair mercury concentrations the effect of dental am algam/links/543fc3110cf21227a11b7820.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁰² Drasch G, Schupp I, Hofl H, Reinke R, Roider G. Mercury burden of human fetal and infant tissues. *Eur J Pediatr.* 1994; 153(8):607–10. Abstract available from: <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02190671</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁰³ Drasch G, Schupp I, Hofl H, Reinke R, Roider G. Mercury burden of human fetal and infant tissues. *Eur J Pediatr.* 1994; 153(8):607–10. Abstract available from: <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02190671</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁰⁴ Björnberg KA, Vahter M, Petersson-Grawe K, Glynn A, Cnattingius S, Darnerud PO, Atuma S, Aune M, Becker W, Berglund M. Methyl mercury and inorganic mercury in Swedish pregnant women and in cord blood: influence of fish consumption. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2003 Apr;111(4):637– 41. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1241457/pdf/ehp0111-000637.pdf&sa=X&scisig=AAGBfm29zmnT2SVYZIpIJY1-</u> xFZOaZbpMQ&oi=scholarr&ei=zFOKT7TVKJDa0QXU3cm3CQ&sqi=2&ved=0CCcQgAMoADAA.

¹⁰⁵ da Costa SL, Malm O, Dorea JG. Breast-milk mercury concentrations and amalgam surface in mothers from Brasilia, Brasil. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 2005; 106(2): 145–51. Abstract available from: <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1385/BTER:106:2:145</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

The Toxic Effects of Dental Amalgam; 2016; www.iaomt.org Page 14

¹⁰⁶ Oskarsson A, Schutz A, Schkerving S, Hallen IP, Ohlin B, Lagerkvist BJ. Total and inorganic mercury in breast milk in relation to fish consumption and amalgam in lactating women. *Arch Environ Health.* 1996; 51(3):234-51. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00039896.1996.9936021</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁰⁷ Nourouzi E, Bahramifar N, Ghasempouri SM. Effect of teeth amalgam on mercury levels in the colostrums human milk in Lenjan. *Environ Monit Access*. 2012; 184(1): 375-380. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Seyed Mahmoud Ghasempouri/publication/51052927 Effect of teeth amalgam on mercury levels in the colostru ms human milk in Lenjan/links/00463522eee955d58600000.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁰⁸ Bellinger DC, Trachtenberg F, Daniel D, Zhang A, Tavares MA, McKinlay S. A dose-effect analysis of children's exposure to dental amalgam and neuropsychological function: the New England Children's Amalgam Trial. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2007; 138(9):1210-6. Available from: http://jada.ada.org/article/S0002-8177(14)63190-1/abstract. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹⁰⁹ DeRouen TA, Martin MD, Leroux BG, Townes BD, Woods JS, Leitão J, Castro-Caldas A, et al. Neurobehavioral effects of dental amalgam in children: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2006; 295(15):1784-1792. Available from: <u>http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=202707</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹¹⁰ Geier DA, Carmody T, Kern JK, King PG, Geier MR. A dose-dependent relationship between mercury exposure from dental amalgams and urinary mercury levels: a further assessment of the Casa Pia Children's Dental Amalgam Trial. Human & Experimental Toxicology. 2012; 31(1):11-7. Abstract available from: http://het.sagepub.com/content/31/1/11.short. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹¹¹ Geier DA, Carmody T, Kern JK, King PG, Geier MR. A significant dose-dependent relationship between mercury exposure from dental amalgams and kidney integrity biomarkers A further assessment of the Casa Pia children's dental amalgam trial. *Human & Experimental Toxicology*. 2012; 32(4):434-440. Abstract available from: <u>http://het.sagepub.com/content/early/2012/08/09/0960327112455671.abstract</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹¹² Geier DA, Carmody T, Kern JK, King PG, Geier MR. A significant relationship between mercury exposure from dental amalgams and urinary porphyrins: a further assessment of the Casa Pia children's dental amalgam trial. *Biometals*. 2011; 24, (2):215-224. Abstract available from: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10534-010-9387-0. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹¹³ Guzzi G, Pigatto PD. Urinary mercury levels in children with amalgam fillings. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008; 116(7):A286-7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2453182/. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹¹⁴ Haley B. Response to the NIDCR funded Children's Amalgam Testing publications in the JAMA 2006. Available from the IAOMT Web site: <u>https://iaomt.org/wp-content/uploads/CAT_Haley_scientific_critique.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹¹⁵ Homme KG, Kern JK, Haley BE, Geier DA, King PG, Sykes LK, Geier MR. New science challenges old notion that mercury dental amalgam is safe. *BioMetals*. 2014; 27(1); 19-24. Abstract available at <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24420334</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹¹⁶ Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Echeverria D, Russo JE, Martin MD, Bernardo MF, Luis HS, Vaz L, Farin FM. Modification of neurobehavioral effects of mercury by a genetic polymorphism of coproporphyrinogen oxidase in children. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2012; 34(5):513-21. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3462250/</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹¹⁷ Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Russo JE, Martin MD, Pillai PB, Bammler TK, Farin FM. Genetic polymorphisms of catechol-O-methyltransferase modify the neurobehavioral effects of mercury in children. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*. 2014; Part A, 77(6): 293-312. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15287394.2014.867210. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹¹⁸ Woods JS, Martin MD, Leroux BG, DeRouen TA, Leitão JG, Bernardo MF, Luis HS, Simmonds PL, Kushleika JV, Huang Y. The contribution of dental amalgam to urinary mercury excretion in children. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2007; 115(10); 1527. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2022658/. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹¹⁹ Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Echeverria D, Russo JE, Martin MD, Bernardo MF, Luis HS, Vaz L, Farin FM. Modification of neurobehavioral effects of mercury by a genetic polymorphism of coproporphyrinogen oxidase in children. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2012; 34(5):513-21. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3462250/. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹²⁰ Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Russo JE, Martin MD, Pillai PB, Bammler TK, Farin FM. Genetic polymorphisms of catechol-O-methyltransferase modify the neurobehavioral effects of mercury in children. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*. 2014; Part A, 77(6): 293-312. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15287394.2014.867210. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹²¹ Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Russo JE, Martin MD, Pillai PB, Farin FM. Modification of neurobehavioral effects of mercury by genetic polymorphisms of metallothionein in children. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*. 2013; 39:36-44. Available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/articles/pmc3795926</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹²² Dunn JE, Trachtenberg FL, Barregard L, Bellinger D, McKinlay S. Scalp hair and urine mercury content of children in the northeast United States: the New England children's amalgam trial. *Environ Res.* 2008; 107(1):79–88. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2464356/</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹²³ Richardson GM. Assessment of mercury exposure risks from dental amalgam: final report. Health Canada; 1995.

¹²⁴ Al-Saleh I, Al-Sedairi A. Mercury (Hg) burden in children: The impact of dental amalgam. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011; 409(16):3003-3015. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969711004359</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹²⁵ Ask K, Akesson A, Berglund M, Vahter M. Inorganic mercury and methylmercury in placentas of Swedish women. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2002; 110(5):523-6. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1240842/pdf/ehp0110-000523.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹²⁶ Berlin M. Mercury in dental amalgam: a risk analysis. *SMDJ Seychelles Medical and Dental Journal, Special Issue*. 2004;7(1): 154-158.
 ¹²⁷ Dunn JE, Trachtenberg FL, Barregard L, Bellinger D, McKinlay S. Scalp hair and urine mercury content of children in the northeast United States: the New England children's amalgam trial. *Environ Res*. 2008; 107(1):79–88. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2464356/</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹²⁸ Geier DA, Carmody T, Kern JK, King PG, Geier MR. A significant dose-dependent relationship between mercury exposure from dental amalgams and kidney integrity biomarkers A further assessment of the Casa Pia children's dental amalgam trial. *Human & Experimental Toxicology*. 2012; 32(4):434-440. Abstract available from: <u>http://het.sagepub.com/content/early/2012/08/09/0960327112455671.abstract</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹²⁹ Geier DA, Carmody T, Kern JK, King PG, Geier MR. A significant relationship between mercury exposure from dental amalgams and urinary porphyrins: a further assessment of the Casa Pia children's dental amalgam trial. *Biometals*. 2011; 24, (2):215-224. Abstract available from: <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10534-010-9387-0</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015. ¹³⁰ Guzzi G, Pigatto PD. Urinary mercury levels in children with amalgam fillings. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008; 116(7):A286-7. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2453182/</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹³¹ Haley BE. Mercury toxicity: genetic susceptibility and synergistic effects. *Medical Vertias*. 2005; 2(2): 535-542.

¹³² Holmes, AS, Blaxill, MF, Haley, BE. Reduced levels of mercury in first baby haircuts of autistic children. *Int J Toxicol.* 2003. 22 (4): 277-85. Abstract available from: <u>http://ijt.sagepub.com/content/22/4/277.short</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹³³ Vahter M, Akesson A, Lind B, Bjors U, Schutz A, Berglund M. Longitudinal study of methylmercury and inorganic mercury in blood and urine of pregnant and lactating women, as well as in umbilical cord blood. *Environ Res.* 2000; 84(2):186-94. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935100940982. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹³⁴ Al-Saleh I, Al-Sedairi A. Mercury (Hg) burden in children: The impact of dental amalgam. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011; 409(16):3003-3015. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969711004359. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹³⁵ Cited as Skare I. Mass balance and systematic uptake of mercury released from dental amalgam fillings. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*. 1995; 80:59–67. And Health Canada. *Health Canada position statement on dental amalgam, 15 September 1997*, at website http://www.hc-

sc.gc.ca/main/drugs/zmfiles/english/issues/amalgam_position.html.

In Risher, JF (ATSDR). Elemental mercury and inorganic mercury compounds: human health aspects. *Concise International Chemical Assessment Document 50*. Geneva, Switzerland: Published under the joint sponsorship of the United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization, and the World Health Organization; 2003. Available from: <u>http://www.inchem.org/documents/cicads/cicad50.htm</u>. Accessed December 16, 2016.

¹³⁶ Godfrey ME, Wojcik DP, Krone CA. Apolipoprotein E genotyping as a potential biomarker for mercury toxicity. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*. 2003; 5(3): 189-195. Abstract available at <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12897404</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

 ¹³⁷ Mutter J, Naumann J, Sadaghiani C, Schneider R, Walach H. Alzheimer disease: mercury as pathogenetic factor and apolipoprotein E as a moderator. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2004; 25(5): 331-339. Abstract available at <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15580166</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.
 ¹³⁸ Sun YH, Nfor ON, Huang JY, Liaw YP. Association between dental amalgam fillings and Alzheimer's disease: a population-based cross-sectional study.

in Taiwan. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy*. 2015; 7(1):1-6. Available from: <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13195-015-0150-1/fulltext.html</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

¹³⁹ Redhe O, Pleva J. Recovery of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and from allergy after removal of dental amalgam fillings. *Int J Risk & Safety in Med.* 1994; 4(3): 229-236. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jaro Pleva/publication/235899060 Recovery from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and from allergy after removal o <u>f dental amalgam fillings/links/0fcfd513f4c3e10807000000.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁴⁰ Edlund C, Bjorkman L, Ekstrand J, Englund GS, Nord CE. Resistance of the normal human microflora to mercury and antimicrobials after exposure to mercury from dental amalgam fillings. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 1996; 22(6):944-50. Available from:

http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/content/22/6/944.full.pdf. Accessed January 21, 2016.

¹⁴¹ Leistevuo J, Leistevuo T, Helenius H, Pyy L, Huovinen P, Tenovuo J. Mercury in saliva and the risk of exceeding limits for sewage in relation to exposure to amalgam fillings. *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal*. 2002; 57(4):366-70.

¹⁴² Mutter J. Is dental amalgam safe for humans? The opinion of the scientific committee of the European Commission. *Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology*. 2011; 6:5. Available from: <u>http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1745-6673-6-2.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁴³ Summers AO, Wireman J, Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL, Marshall B, Levy SB, Bennet S, Billard L. Mercury released from dental 'silver' fillings provokes an increase in mercury- and antibiotic- resistant bacteria in oral and intestinal flora of primates. *Antimicrob Agents and Chemother*. 1993; 37(4): 825-834. Available from http://aac.asm.org/content/37/4/825.full.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁴⁴ Geier DA, Kern JK, Geier MR. A prospective study of prenatal mercury exposure from dental amalgams and autism severity. *Neurobiolgiae Experimentals Polish Neuroscience Society*. 2009; 69(2): 189-197. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19593333</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁴⁵ Geier DA, Kern JK, Geier MR. The biological basis of autism spectrum disorders: Understanding causation and treatment by clinical geneticists. *Acta Neurobiol Exp (Wars).* 2010; 70(2): 209-226. Available from: <u>http://www.zla.ane.pl/pdf/7025.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁴⁶ Mutter J, Naumann J, Schneider R, Walach H, Haley B. Mercury and autism: accelerating evidence. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2005: 26(5): 439-446. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16264412</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁴⁷ Bartova J, Prochazkova J, Kratka Z, Benetkova K, Venclikova C, Sterzl I. Dental amalgam as one of the risk factors in autoimmune disease. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2003; 24(1-2): 65-67. Available from: <u>http://www.nel.edu/pdf_w/24_12/NEL241203A09_Bartova--Sterzl_wr.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁴⁸ Cooper GS, Parks CG, Treadwell EL, St Clair EW, Gilkeson GS, Dooley MA. Occupational risk factors for the development of systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol.* 2004; 31(10): 1928-1933. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.jrheum.org/content/31/10/1928.short</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁴⁹ Eggleston DW. Effect of dental amalgam and nickel alloys on T-lymphocytes: preliminary report. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1984; 51(5):617-23. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022391384904049</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁵⁰ Hultman P, Johansson U, Turley SJ, Lindh U, Enestrom S, Pollard KM. Adverse immunological effects and autoimmunity induced by dental amalgam and alloy in mice. *FASEB J*. 1994; 8(14):1183-90. Available from: <u>http://www.fasebj.org/content/8/14/1183.full.pdf</u>.

¹⁵¹ Lindqvist B, Mörnstad H. Effects of removing amalgam fillings from patients with diseases affecting the immune system. *Medical Science Research*. 1996; 24(5):355-356.

¹⁵² Prochazkova J, Sterzl I, Kucerkova H, Bartova J, Stejskal VDM. The beneficial effect of amalgam replacement on health in patients with autoimmunity. *Neuroendocrinology Letters*. 2004; 25(3): 211-218. Available from: <u>http://www.nel.edu/pdf /25 3/NEL250304A07 Prochazkova .pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁵³ Rachmawati D, Buskermolen JK, Scheper RJ, Gibbs S, von Blomberg BM, van Hoogstraten IM. Dental metal-induced innate reactivity in keratinocytes. *Toxicology in Vitro*. 2015; 30(1):325-30. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0887233315002544</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

¹⁵⁴ Sterzl I, Procházková J, Hrdá P, Bártová J, Matucha P, Stejskal VD. Mercury and nickel allergy: risk factors in fatigue and autoimmunity. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20:221-228. Available from: <u>http://www.melisa.org/pdf/nialler.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015. ¹⁵⁵ Venclikova Z, Benada O, Bartova J, Joska L, Mrklas L, Prochazkova J, Stejskal V, Podzimek S. In vivo effects of dental casting alloys. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2006; 27:61. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/16892010. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁵⁶ Weiner JA, Nylander M, Berglund F. Does mercury from amalgam restorations constitute a health hazard? Sci Total Environ. 1990; 99(1-2):1-22. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/004896979090206A. Accessed December 16, 2015.

157 Bergdahl IA, Ahlqwist M, Barregard L, Björkelund C, Blomstrand A, Skerfving S, Sundh V, Wennberg M, Lissner L. Mercury in serum predicts low risk of death and myocardial infarction in Gothenburg women. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2013; 86(1): 71-77. Abstract available from: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00420-012-0746-8. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁵⁸ Houston MC. Role of mercury toxicity in hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and stroke. The Journal of Clinical Hypertension. 2011; 13(8):621-7. Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1751-7176.2011.00489.x/full. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁵⁹ Siblerud RL. The relationship between mercury from dental amalgam and the cardiovascular system. Science of the Total Environment, 1990; 99(1-2): 23-35. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/004896979090207B. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁶⁰ Kern JK, Geier DA, Bjørklund G, King PG, Homme KG, Haley BE, Sykes LK, Geier MR. Evidence supporting a link between dental amalgams and chronic illness, fatigue, depression, anxiety, and suicide. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2014; 35(7): 537-52. Available from:

http://www.nel.edu/archive issues/o/35 7/NEL35 7 Kern 537-552.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁶¹ Stejskal I, Danersund A, Lindvall A, Hudecek R, Nordman V, Yaqob A, Mayer W, Bieger W, Lindh U. Metal-specific lymphocytes: biomarkers of sensitivity in man. Neuroendocrinol Lett. 1999; 20(5): 289-298. Abstract available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11460087. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁶² Sterzl I, Prochazkova J, Hrda P, Matucha P, Stejskal VD. Mercury and nickel allergy: risk factors in fatigue and autoimmunity. Neuroendocrinol Lett. 1999; 20(3-4):221-228. Available from: http://www.melisa.org/pdf/nialler.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁶³ Wojcik DP, Godfrey ME, Christie D, Haley BE. Mercury toxicity presenting as chronic fatigue, memory impairment and depression: diagnosis, treatment, susceptibility, and outcomes in a New Zealand general practice setting: 1994-2006. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2006; 27(4): 415-423. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/16891999. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁶⁴ Rothwell JA, Boyd PJ. Amalgam fillings and hearing loss. International Journal of Audiology. 2008; 47(12): 770-776. Abstract available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14992020802311224#.VnH7tkorIgs. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁶⁵ Barregard L, Fabricius-Lagging E, Lundh T, Molne J, Wallin M, Olausson M, Modigh C, Sallsten G. Cadmium, mercury, and lead in kidney cortex of living kidney donors: impact of different exposure sources. Environ, Res. Sweden, 2010; 110: 47-54. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Johan Moelne/publication/40024474 Cadmium mercury and lead in kidney cortex of living kidney donors Impa ct of different exposure sources/links/0c9605294e28e1f04d000000.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁶⁶ Boyd ND, Benediktsson H, Vimy MJ, Hooper DE, Lorscheider FL. Mercury from dental "silver" tooth fillings impairs sheep kidney function. Am J Physiol. 1991; 261(4 Pt 2):R1010-4. Abstract available from: http://ajpregu.physiology.org/content/261/4/R1010.short. Accessed December 16, 2015. ¹⁶⁷ Fredin B. The distribution of mercury in various tissues of guinea-pigs after application of dental amalgam fillings (a pilot study). Sci Total Environ. 1987; 66: 263-268. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969787900933. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁶⁸ Mortada WL, Sobh MA, El-Defrawi, MM, Farahat SE. Mercury in dental restoration: is there a risk of nephrotoxity? J Nephrol. 2002; 15(2): 171-176. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/12018634</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015. ¹⁶⁹ Nylander M., Friberg L, Lind B. Mercury concentrations in the human brain and kidneys in relation to exposure from dental amalgam fillings. *Swed Dent*

J. 1987; 11(5): 179-187. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/3481133. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁷⁰ Richardson GM, Wilson R, Allard D, Purtill C, Douma S, Gravière J. Mercury exposure and risks from dental amalgam in the US population, post-2000. Sci Total Environ. 2011; 409(20):4257-4268. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969711006607. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁷¹ Spencer AJ. Dental amalgam and mercury in dentistry. Aust Dent J. 2000; 45(4):224-34. Available from:

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1834-7819.2000.tb00256.x/pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁷² Weiner JA, Nylander M, Berglund F. Does mercury from amalgam restorations constitute a health hazard? Sci Total Environ. 1990; 99(1):1-22. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/004896979090206A. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁷³ Huggins HA, Levy TE. Cerebrospinal fluid protein changes in multiple sclerosis after dental amalgam removal. Altern Med Rev. 1998; 3(4): 295-300. Abstract available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9727079. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁷⁴ Prochazkova J, Sterzl I, Kucerova H, Bartova J, Stejskal VD. The beneficial effect of amalgam replacement on health in patients with

autoimmunity. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2004; 25(3):211-218. Available from: http://www.nel.edu/pdf /25 3/NEL250304A07 Prochazkova .pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁷⁵ Siblerud RL. A comparison of mental health of multiple sclerosis patients with silver/mercury dental fillings and those with fillings removed. *Psychol* Rep. 1992; 70(3c):1139-51. Abstract available from: http://www.amsciepub.com/doi/abs/10.2466/pr0.1992.70.3c.1139?journalCode=pr0. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁷⁶ Siblerud RL, Kienholz E. Evidence that mercury from silver dental fillings may be an etiological factor in multiple sclerosis. *The Science of the Total* Environment. 1994; 142(3): 191-205. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969794903271. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁷⁷ Mutter J. Is dental amalgam safe for humans? The opinion of the scientific committee of the European Commission. Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology. 2011; 6:2.

¹⁷⁸ Ngim C, Devathasan G. Epidemiologic study on the association between body burden mercury level and idiopathic Parkinson's disease.

Neuroepidemiology. 1989: 8(3):128-141. Abstract available from: http://www.karger.com/Article/Abstract/110175. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁷⁹ Venclikova Z, Benada O, Bartova J, Joska L, Mrklas L, Prochazkova J, Stejskal V, Podzimek S. In vivo effects of dental casting alloys. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2006; 27:61. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/16892010. Accessed December 16, 2015.

180 Podzimek S, Prochazkova J, Buitasova L, Bartova J, Ulcova-Gallova Z, Mrklas L, Stejskal VD. Sensitization to inorganic mercury could be a risk factor for infertility. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2005; 26(4), 277-282. Available from: http://www.nel.edu/26-2005 4 pdf/NEL260405R01 Podzimek.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁸¹ Rowland AS, Baird DD, Weinberg CR, Shore DL, Shy CM, Wilcox AJ. The effect of occupational exposure to mercury vapour on the fertility of female dental assistants. Occupat Environ Med. 1994; 51:28-34. Available from: http://oem.bmj.com/content/51/1/28.full.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

The Toxic Effects of Dental Amalgam; 2016; www.iaomt.org

¹⁸² For a detailed list of additional health problems related to dental mercury, see Kall J, Just A, Aschner M. What's the risk? Dental amalgam, mercury exposure, and human health risks throughout the lifespan. *Epigenetics, the Environment, and Children's Health across Lifespans*. David J. Hollar, ed. Springer. 2016. pp. 159-206 (Chapter 7).

And Kall J, Robertson K, Sukel P, Just A. International Academy of Oral Medicine and Toxicology (IAOMT) Position Statement against Dental Mercury Amalgam Fillings for Medical and Dental Practitioners, Dental Students, and Patients. ChampionsGate, FL: IAOMT. 2016. Available from the IAOMT Web site: https://iaomt.org/iaomt-position-paper-dental-mercury-amalgam/. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹⁸³ Kern JK, Geier DA, Bjørklund G, King PG, Homme KG, Haley BE, Sykes LK, Geier MR. Evidence supporting a link between dental amalgams and chronic illness, fatigue, depression, anxiety, and suicide. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2014; 35(7): 537-52. Available from: <u>http://www.nel.edu/archive_issues/o/35_7/NEL35_7_Kern_537-552.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁸⁴ Richardson GM. Inhalation of mercury-contaminated particulate matter by dentists: an overlooked occupational risk. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*. 2003; 9(6):1519-1531. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10807030390251010</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁸⁵ Ahlbom A, Norell S, Rodvall Y, Nylander M. Dentists, dental nurses, and brain tumors. *Br. Med. J.* 1986; 292(6521):662. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1339649/pdf/bmjcred00224-0024.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁸⁶ Akesson I, Schutz A, Attewell R, Skerfving S, Glantz PO. Status of mercury and selenium in dental personnel: impact of amalgam work and own fillings. *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal*. 1991; 46(2):102-9. Abstract available from:

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00039896.1991.9937436. Accessed December 17, 2015.

¹⁸⁷ Anglen J, Gruninger SE, Chou HN, Weuve J, Turyk ME, Freels S, Stayner LT. Occupational mercury exposure in association with prevalence of multiple sclerosis and tremor among US dentists. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*. 2015; 146(9):659-68. Abstract available from: http://iada.ada.org/article/S0002-8177(15)00630-3/abstract. Accessed December 18, 2015.

¹⁸⁸ Buchwald H. Exposure of dental workers to mercury. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 1972; 33(7):492-502. Abstract available from:

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0002889728506692. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁸⁹ Cooper GS, Parks CG, Treadwell EL, St Clair EW, Gilkeson GS, Dooley MA. Occupational risk factors for the development of systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol.* 2004; 31(10):1928-1933. Available from: <u>http://www.jrheum.org/content/31/10/1928.short</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.
 ¹⁹⁰ Duplinsky TG, Cicchetti DV. The health status of dentists exposed to mercury from silver amalgam tooth restorations. *International Journal of Statistics in Medical Research.* 2012; 1(1):1-15. Available from: <u>http://lifescienceglobal.bizmarksolutions.com/pms/index.php/ijsmr/article/download/433/pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁹¹ Echeverria D, Aposhian HV, Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Aposhian MM, Bittner AC, Mahurin RK, Cianciola M. Neurobehavioral effects from exposure to dental amalgam Hgo: new distinctions between recent exposure and body burden. *FASEBJ*. 1998; 12(11):971-980. Available from: http://www.fasebj.org/content/12/11/971.long. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁹² Echeverria D, Heyer N, Martin MD, Naleway CA, Woods JS, Bittner AC. Behavioral effects of low-level exposure to Hg0 among dentists. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 1995; 17(2):161-8. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/089203629400049J</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.
 ¹⁹³ Echeverria D, Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Rohlman D, Farin F, Li T, Garabedian CE. The association between a genetic polymorphism of coproporphyrinogen oxidase, dental mercury exposure and neurobehavioral response in humans. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2006; 28(1):39-48. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/08920362940049J</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁹⁴ Echeverria D, Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Rohlman DS, Farin FM, Bittner AC, Li T, Garabedian C. Chronic low-level mercury exposure, BDNF polymorphism, and associations with cognitive and motor function. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*. 2005; 27(6):781-796. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0892036205001285</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁹⁵ Fabrizio E, Vanacore N, Valente M, Rubino A, Meco G. High prevalence of extrapyramidal signs and symptoms in a group of Italian dental technicians. *BMC Neurol.* 2007; 7(1):24. Available from: <u>http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2377/7/24</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁹⁶ Goodrich JM, Wang Y, Gillespie B, Werner R, Franzblau A, Basu N. Methylmercury and elemental mercury differentially associate with blood pressure among dental professionals. *Int J Hyg Environ Health.* 2013; 216(2):195-201. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3727420/</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁹⁷ Hilt B, Svendsen K, Syversen T, Aas O, Qvenild T, Sletvold H, Melø I. Occurrence of cognitive symptoms in dental assistants with previous occupational exposure to metallic mercury. *Neurotoxicology*. 2009; 30(6):1202-1206. Abstract available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0161813X09001119. Accessed December 16, 2015.

¹⁹⁸ Johnson KF. Mercury hygiene. *Dental Clinics of North America*. 1978; 22(3):477-89. Abstract available from:

http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/277421. Accessed December 17, 2015.

¹⁹⁹ Kanerva L, Lahtinen A, Toikkanen J, Forss H, Estlander T, Susitaival P, Jolanki R. Increase in occupational skin diseases of dental personnel. *Contact Dermatitis*. 1999; 40(2):104-108. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1600-0536.1999.tb06000.x/abstract</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁰⁰ Karahalil B, Rahravi H, Ertas N. Examination of urinary mercury levels in dentists in Turkey. *Hum Exp Toxicol.* 2005; 24(8):383-388. Abstract available from: <u>http://het.sagepub.com/content/24/8/383.short</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁰¹ Lee JY, Yoo JM, Cho BK, Kim HO. Contact dermatitis in Korean dental technicians. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2001; 45(1):13-16. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1034/j.1600-0536.2001.045001013.x/abstract?userIsAuthenticated=false&deniedAccessCustomisedMessage</u>=. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁰² Lönnroth EC, Shahnavaz H. Amalgam in dentistry. A survey of methods used at dental clinics in Norrbotten to decrease exposure to mercury vapour. *Swed Dent J.* 1995; 19(1-2):55. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/7597632</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁰³ Martin MD, Naleway C, Chou HN. Factors contributing to mercury exposure in dentists. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1995; 126(11):1502-1511. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0002817715607851</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁰⁴ Ngim CH, Foo SC, Boey KW, Jeyaratnem J. Chronic neurobehavioural effects of elemental mercury in dentists. *Br J Ind Med.* 1992; 49(11):782-790. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1039326/pdf/brjindmed00023-0040.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁰⁵ Nylander M, Friberg L, Eggleston D, Björkman L. Mercury accumulation in tissues from dental staff and controls in relation to exposure. *Swed Dent J*. 1989; 13(6):235-236. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/2603127</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁰⁶ Oliveira MT, Constantino HV, Molina GO, Milioli E, Ghizoni JS, Pereira JR. Evaluation of mercury contamination in patients and water during amalgam removal. The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice. 2014; 15(2):165. Abstract available from:

http://search.proquest.com/openview/c9e4c284ca7b3fd3779621692411875c/1?pq-origsite=gscholar. Accessed December 18, 2015.

²⁰⁷ Parsell DE, Karns L, Buchanan WT, Johnson RB. Mercury release during autoclave sterilization of amalgam. J Dent Educ. 1996; 60(5):453-458. Abstract available from: http://www.jdentaled.org/content/60/5/453.short. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁰⁸ Pérez-Gómez B, Aragonés N, Gustavsson P, Plato N, López-Abente G, Pollán, M. Cutaneous melanoma in Swedish women: occupational risks by anatomic site. Am J Ind Med. 2005; 48(4):270-281. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Beatriz Perez-

Gomez/publication/227715301 Cutaneous melanoma in Swedish women Occupational risks by anatomic site/links/0deec519b27246a598000000.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁰⁹ Richardson GM, Brecher RW, Scobie H, Hamblen J, Samuelian J, Smith C. Mercury vapour (Hg(0)): Continuing toxicological uncertainties, and establishing a Canadian reference exposure level. Regul Toxicol Pharmicol. 2009; 53(1):32-38. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0273230008002304. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²¹⁰ Richardson GM. Inhalation of mercury-contaminated particulate matter by dentists: an overlooked occupational risk. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment, 2003; 9(6):1519-1531, Abstract available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10807030390251010, Accessed December 16, 2015.

²¹¹ Rojas M, Seijas D, Agreda O, Rodríguez M. Biological monitoring of mercury exposure in individuals referred to a toxicological center in Venezuela. Sci Total Environ. 2006; 354(2):278-285. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/David_Seijas/publication/7372790_Biological_monitoring_of_mercury_exposure_in_individuals_referred_to_a_toxicol ogical center in Venezuela/links/0c9605253f5d25bbe9000000.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²¹² Shapiro IM, Cornblath DR, Sumner AJ, Sptiz LK, Uzzell B, Ship II, Bloch P. Neurophysiological and neuropsychological function in mercury-exposed dentists. Lancet. 1982; 319(8282):1447-1150. Available from: http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(82)92226-<u>7/abstract?cc=y</u>=. Accessed December 16, 2015.
 ²¹³ Uzzell BP, Oler J. Chronic low-level mercury exposure and neuropsychological functioning. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 1986; 8(5):581-593. Abstract

available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01688638608405177. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²¹⁴ Van Zyl I. Mercury amalgam safety: a review. The Journal of the Michigan Dental Association. 1999; 81(1):40-8.

²¹⁵ Votaw AL, Zey J. Vacuuming a mercury-contaminated dental office may be hazardous to your health. *The Dental Assistant*. 1990; 60(1):27-9. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/1860523. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²¹⁶ Zahir F, Rizwi SJ, Haq SK, Khan RH. Low dose mercury toxicity and human health. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2005; 20(2):351-360. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Soghra_Haq/publication/51515936_Low_dose_mercury_toxicity_and_human_health/links/00b7d51bd5115b6ba900000 0.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²¹⁷ de Oliveira MT, Pereira JR, Ghizoni JS, Bittencourt ST, Molina GO. Effects from exposure to dental amalgam on systemic mercury levels in patients and dental school students. Photomed Laser Surg. 2010; 28(S2):S-111. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jefferson_Pereira/publication/47369541_Effects_from_exposure_to_dental_amalgam_on_systemic_mercury_levels_in_ patients and dental school students/links/02bfe50f9f8bf8946e000000.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015. ²¹⁸ Warwick R, O Connor A, Lamey B. Sample size = 25 for each mercury vapor exposure during dental student training in amalgam removal. *J Occup Med*

Toxicol. 2013; 8(1):27. Available from: http://download.springer.com/static/pdf/203/art%253A10.1186%252F1745-6673-8-

27.pdf?originUrl=http%3A%2F%2Foccup-med.biomedcentral.com%2Farticle%2F10.1186%2F1745-6673-8-

27&token2=exp=1450380047~acl=%2Fstatic%2Fpdf%2F203%2Fart%25253A10.1186%25252F1745-6673-8-

27.pdf*~hmac=6ae07046977e264c2d8d25ff12<u>a5600a2b3d4b4f5090fbff92ce459bd389326d</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²¹⁹ White RR, Brandt RL. Development of mercury hypersensitivity among dental students. JADA. 1976; 92(6):1204-7. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0002817776260320. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²²⁰ Gelbier S, Ingram J. Possible fetotoxic effects of mercury vapor: a case report. *Public Health*. 1989; 103(1):35-40. Available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0033350689801003. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²²¹ Lindbohm ML, Ylöstalo P, Sallmén M, Henriks-Eckerman ML, Nurminen T, Forss H, Taskinen H. Occupational exposure in dentistry and miscarriage. Occupational and environmental medicine. 2007; 64(2):127-33. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2078431/. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²²² Olfert, SM. Reproductive outcomes among dental personnel: a review of selected exposures. Journal (Canadian Dental Association). 2006; 72(9), 821. ²²³ Rowland AS, Baird DD, Weinberg CR, Shore DL, Shy CM, Wilcox AJ. The effect of occupational exposure to mercury vapour on the fertility of female dental assistants. Occupat Environ Med. 1994; 51:28-34. Available from: http://oem.bmj.com/content/51/1/28.full.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015. ²²⁴ Sikorski R, Juszkiewicz T, Paszkowski T, Szprengier-Juszkiewicz T. Women in dental surgeries: reproductive hazards in exposure to metallic mercury. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health. 1987; 59(6):551-557. Abstract available from:

http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00377918. Accessed December 16, 2015.

225 Wasylko L, Matsui D, Dykxhoorn SM, Rieder MJ, Weinberg S. A review of common dental treatments during pregnancy: implications for patients and dental personnel. J Can Dent Assoc. 1998; 64(6):434-9. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/9659813. Accessed December 16, 2015

²²⁶ Buchwald H. Exposure of dental workers to mercury. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 1972; 33(7):492-502. Abstract available from:

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0002889728506692. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²²⁷ Johnson KF. Mercury hygiene. *Dental Clinics of North America*. 1978; 22(3):477-89. Abstract available from:

http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/277421. Accessed December 17, 2015.

228 Kanerva L, Lahtinen A, Toikkanen J, Forss H, Estlander T, Susitaival P, Jolanki R. Increase in occupational skin diseases of dental personnel. Contact Dermatitis, 1999; 40(2):104-108. Abstract available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1600-0536.1999.tb06000.x/abstract. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²²⁹ Lönnroth EC, Shahnavaz H. Amalgam in dentistry. A survey of methods used at dental clinics in Norrbotten to decrease exposure to mercury vapour. Swed Dent J. 1995; 19(1-2):55. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/7597632. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²³⁰ Lönnroth EC, Shahnavaz H. Dental clinics--a burden to environment? *Swed Dent J.* 1996; 20(5):173. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/9000326. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²³¹ Martin MD, Naleway C, Chou HN. Factors contributing to mercury exposure in dentists. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1995; 126(11):1502-1511. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0002817715607851</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²³⁴ Stonehouse CA, Newman AP. Mercury vapour release from a dental aspirator. *Br Dent J.* 2001; 190(10):558-60. Abstract available from: http://www.nature.com/bdj/journal/v190/n10/full/4801034a.html. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²³⁵ Perim SI, Goldberg AF. Mercury in hospital dentistry. Special Care in Dentistry. 1984; 4(2):54-5. Abstract available from:

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1754-4505.1984.tb00146.x/abstract. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²³⁶ Pleva J. Mercury from dental amalgams: exposure and effects. The International journal of risk & safety in medicine. 1992; 3(1):1-22. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/23510804. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²³⁷ Votaw AL, Zey J. Vacuuming a mercury-contaminated dental office may be hazardous to your health. *The Dental Assistant*. 1990; 60(1):27-9. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/1860523</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²³⁸ Warwick R, O Connor A, Lamey B. Sample size = 25 for each mercury vapor exposure during dental student training in amalgam removal. *J Occup Med Toxicol*. 2013; 8(1):27. Available from: <u>http://download.springer.com/static/pdf/203/art%253A10.1186%252F1745-6673-8-</u>

 $\underline{27.pdf? originUrl = http \% 3A\% 2F\% 2Foccup-med. biomedcentral. com \% 2Farticle \% 2F10.1186\% 2F1745-6673-8-27.pdf? and and an anticipation of the second second$

27&token2=exp=1450380047~acl=%2Fstatic%2Fpdf%2F203%2Fart%25253A10.1186%25252F1745-6673-8-

<u>27.pdf*~hmac=6ae07046977e264c2d8d25ff12a5600a2b3d4b4f5090fbff92ce459bd389326d</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²³⁹ Wykle Research, Inc. "Section VIII: Safe Handling and Use." *Material Safety Data Sheet for Self Activating Capsules Original D and Phasealloy*. (10/10/02). Available from: <u>http://www.wykledirect.com/pdf%27s/MSDS.pdf</u>. Accessed January 12, 2016.

²⁴⁰ World Health Organization. Mercury in Health Care [policy paper]. August 2005: 1. Available from WHO Web site:

http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/medicalwaste/mercurypolpaper.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.

²⁴¹ World Health Organization. Mercury in Health Care [policy paper]. August 2005: 1. Available from WHO Web site:

http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/medicalwaste/mercurypolpaper.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.

²⁴² Health Canada. *The Safety of Dental Amalgam*. 1996: 13. Available from Health Canada Web site: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/dhp-mps/alt_formats/hpfb-dgpsa/pdf/md-im/dent_amalgam-eng.pdf</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

²⁴³ Risher, JF (ATSDR). Elemental mercury and inorganic mercury compounds: human health aspects. *Concise International Chemical Assessment Document 50*. Geneva, Switzerland: Published under the joint sponsorship of the United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization, and the World Health Organization; 2003. Available from: <u>http://www.inchem.org/documents/cicads/cicads/cicad50.htm</u>. Accessed December 16, 2016.

²⁴⁴ Richardson GM. Inhalation of mercury-contaminated particulate matter by dentists: an overlooked occupational risk. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment.* 2003; 9(6):1519-1531. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10807030390251010</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁴⁵ Richardson GM, Wilson R, Allard D, Purtill C, Douma S, Gravière J. Mercury exposure and risks from dental amalgam in the US population, post-2000. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011; 409(20):4257-4268. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969711006607</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁴⁶ European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). *EFSA Journal*. 2012; 10(12):2985 [241 pp., see second to last paragraph for this quote]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2985. Available from EFSA Web site: <u>http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/2985.htm</u>.
 ²⁴⁷ United States Environmental Protection Agency. Mercury: human exposure. Page last updated December 29, 2014. Available from United States Environmental Protection Agency Web site: <u>http://www.epa.gov/hg/exposure.htm</u>. Accessed March 1, 2015.

²⁴⁸ United States Food and Drug Administration. What you need to know about mercury in fish and shellfish. Page last updated June 10, 2014. Available from FDA Web site: <u>http://www.fda.gov/Food/ResourcesForYou/Consumers/ucm110591.htm</u>. Accessed March 1, 2015.

²⁴⁹ Most states have advisories. This is one example: State of Connecticut Department of Public Health. A woman's guide to eating fish safely: special advice for pregnant women & young children. 2010. Available from Connecticut's Official State Web site:

http://www.ct.gov/dph/lib/dph/environmental_health/eoha/pdf/womans_guide_english_2010.pdf. Accessed March 1, 2015.

²⁵⁰ Rani A, Rockne KJ, Drummond J, Al-Hinai M, Ranjan R. Geochemical influences and mercury methylation of a dental wastewater microbiome. *Scientific Reports*. 2015;5. Available from: <u>http://www.nature.com/articles/srep12872</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁵¹ Heintze U, Edwardsson S, Dérand T, Birkhed D. Methylation of mercury from dental amalgam and mercuric chloride by oral streptococci in vitro. *European Journal of Oral Sciences*. 1983; 91(2):150-2. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1600-</u>0722.1983.tb00792.x/abstract. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁵² Liang L, Brooks RJ. Mercury reactions in the human mouth with dental amalgams. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 1995; 80(1-4):103-7.

²⁵³ Sellars WA, Sllars R, Liang L, Hefley JD. Methyl mercury in dental amalgams in the human mouth. *Journal of Nutritional & Environmental Medicine*. 1996; 6(1):33-6. Abstract available from <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3109/13590849608999133</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁵⁴ Wang J, Liu Z. [In vitro study of Streptococcus mutans in the plaque on the surface of amalgam fillings on the conversion of inorganic mercury to organic mercury]. Shanghai kou qiang yi xue= *Shanghai Journal of Stomatology*. 2000; 9(2):70-2. Abstract available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15014810. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁵⁵ Rowland IR, Grasso P, Davies MJ. The methylization of mercuric chloride by human intestinal bacteria. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*. 1975; 31(9): 1064-5. <u>http://www.springerlink.com/content/b677m8k193676v17/</u>

²⁵⁶ Leistevuo J, Leistevuo T, Helenius H, Pyy L, Österblad M, Huovinen P, Tenovuo J. Dental amalgam fillings and the amount of organic mercury in human saliva. *Caries Research*. 2001;35(3):163-6.

²³² Nimmo A, Werley MS, Martin JS, Tansy MF. Particulate inhalation during the removal of amalgam restorations. *J Prosth Dent.* 1990; 63(2):228-33. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/002239139090110X</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²³³ Parsell DE, Karns L, Buchanan WT, Johnson RB. Mercury release during autoclave sterilization of amalgam. *J Dent Educ.* 1996; 60(5):453-458. Abstract available from: http://www.jdentaled.org/content/60/5/453.short. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁵⁷ Podar M, Gilmour CC, Brandt CC, Soren A, Brown SD, Crable BR, Palumbo AV, Somenahally AC, Elias DA. Global prevalence and distribution of genes and microorganisms involved in mercury methylation. Science advances. 2015;1(9):e1500675. Available from: <u>http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/1/9/e1500675.full</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁵⁸ Echeverria D, Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Rohlman D, Farin FM, Li T, Garabedian CE. The association between a genetic polymorphism of coproporphyrinogen oxidase, dental mercury exposure and neurobehavioral response in humans. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*. 2006; 28(1):39-48. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0892036205001492</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.
²⁵⁹ Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Echeverria D, Russo JE, Martin MD, Bernardo MF, Luis HS, Vaz L, Farin FM. Modification of neurobehavioral effects of mercury

by a genetic polymorphism of coproporphyrinogen oxidase in children. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2012; 34(5):513-21. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3462250/. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁶⁰ Gordon G. Dental group defends mercury fillings amid mounting evidence of risks. McClatchy News Service. January 5, 2016. Available from: http://www.mcclatchydc.com/news/nation-world/national/article53118775.html. Accessed January 5, 2016.

²⁶¹ Gordon G. Dental group defends mercury fillings amid mounting evidence of risks. McClatchy News Service. January 5, 2016. Available from: http://www.mcclatchydc.com/news/nation-world/national/article53118775.html. Accessed January 5, 2016.

²⁶² Wojcik DP, Godfrey ME, Christie D, Haley BE. Mercury toxicity presenting as chronic fatigue, memory impairment and depression: diagnosis, treatment, susceptibility, and outcomes in a New Zealand general practice setting: 1994-2006. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2006; 27(4):415-423. Available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/16891999. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁶³ Breitner J, Kathleen A. Welsh KA, Gau BA, McDonald WM, Steffens DC, Saunders AM, Kathryn M. Magruder KM et al. Alzheimer's Disease in the National Academy of Sciences--National Research Council Registry of Aging Twin Veterans: III. Detection of Cases, Longitudinal Results, and Observations on Twin Concordance. *Archives of Neurology*. 1995; 52(8):763. Abstract available from:

http://archneur.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=593579. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁶⁴ Haley BE. The relationship of the toxic effects of mercury to exacerbation of the medical condition classified as Alzheimer's disease. *Medical Veritas*. 2007; 4(2):1510–1524. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.medicalveritas.com/images/00161.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

 ²⁶⁵ Mutter J, Naumann J, Sadaghiani C, Schneider R, Walach H. Alzheimer disease: mercury as pathogenetic factor and apolipoprotein E as a moderator. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2004; 25(5): 331-339. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15580166</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.
 ²⁶⁶ Godfrey ME, Wojcik DP, Krone CA. Apolipoprotein E genotyping as a potential biomarker for mercury neurotoxicity. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2003; 5(3):189-195. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12897404</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁶⁷ Hultman P, Johansson U, Turley SJ, Lindh U, Enestrom S, Pollard KM. Adverse immunological effects and autoimmunity induced by dental amalgam and alloy in mice. *FASEB J.* 1994; 8(14):1183-90. Available from: <u>http://www.fasebj.org/content/8/14/1183.full.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.
 ²⁶⁸ Weiner JA, Nylander M, Berglund F. Does mercury from amalgam restorations constitute a health hazard? *Sci Total Environ.* 1990; 99(1):1-22. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/004896979090206A</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁶⁹ Stejskal VDM, Cederbrant K, Lindvall A, Forsbeck M. MELISA—an in vitro tool for the study of metal allergy. *Toxicology in vitro*. 1994; 8(5):991-1000. Available from: <u>http://www.melisa.org/pdf/MELISA-1994.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

²⁷⁰ Stejskal J, Stejskal VD. The role of metals in autoimmunity and the link to neuroendocrinology. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20(6):351-366. Abstract available from <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11458198</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁷¹ Bernard S, Enayati A, Redwood L, Roger H, Binstock T. Autism: a novel form of mercury poisoning. *Med Hypotheses*. 2001; 56(4):462-71. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306987700912817</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁷² Mutter J, Naumann J, Schneider R, Walach H, Haley B. Mercury and autism: accelerating evidence. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2005: 26(5):439-446. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16264412</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁷³ Zamm A. Dental mercury: a factor that aggravates and induces xenobiotic intolerance. Journal of Orthomolecular Medicine. 1991; (6)2.

²⁷⁴ Mutter J, Yeter D. Kawasaki's disease, acrodynia, and mercury. *Curr Med Chem.* 2008; 15(28):3000-10. Abstract available from:

http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/ben/cmc/2008/00000015/00000028/art00007. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁷⁵ Engström K, Ameer S, Bernaudat L, Drasch G, Baeuml J, Skerfving S, Bose-O'Reilly S, Broberg, K. Polymorphisms in genes encoding potential mercury transporters and urine mercury concentrations in populations exposed to mercury vapor from gold mining. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2013; 121(1): 85. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3553430/</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁷⁶ Echeverria D, Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Rohlman DS, Farin FM, Bittner AC, Li T, Garabedian C. Chronic low-level mercury exposure, BDNF polymorphism, and associations with cognitive and motor function. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*. 2005; 27(6):781-796. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0892036205001285. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁷⁷ Heyer NJ, Echeverria D, Bittner AC, Farin FM, Garabedian CC, Woods JS. Chronic low-level mercury exposure, BDNF polymorphism, and associations with self-reported symptoms and mood. Toxicological Sciences. 2004; 81(2):354-63. Available from: <u>http://toxsci.oxfordjournals.org/content/81/2/354.long</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁷⁸ Parajuli RP, Goodrich JM, Chou HN, Gruninger SE, Dolinoy DC, Franzblau A, Basu N. Genetic polymorphisms are associated with hair, blood, and urine mercury levels in the American Dental Association (ADA) study participants. *Environmental Research*. 2015. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935115301602. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁷⁹ Parajuli RP, Goodrich JM, Chou HN, Gruninger SE, Dolinoy DC, Franzblau A, Basu N. Genetic polymorphisms are associated with hair, blood, and urine mercury levels in the American Dental Association (ADA) study participants. *Environmental Research*. 2015. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935115301602. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁸⁰ Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Russo JE, Martin MD, Pillai PB, Farin FM. Modification of neurobehavioral effects of mercury by genetic polymorphisms of metallothionein in children. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*. 2013; 39:36-44. Available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/articles/pmc3795926</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

²⁸¹ Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Echeverria D, Russo JE, Martin MD, Bernardo MF, Luis HS, Vaz L, Farin FM. Modification of neurobehavioral effects of mercury by a genetic polymorphism of coproporphyrinogen oxidase in children. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2012; 34(5):513-21. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3462250/. Accessed December 18, 2015.

²⁸² Austin DW, Spolding B, Gondalia S, Shandley K, Palombo EA, Knowles S, Walder K. Genetic variation associated with hypersensitivity to mercury. *Toxicology International*. 2014; 21(3):236. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4413404/</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015. ²⁸³ Heyer NJ, Echeverria D, Bittner AC, Farin FM, Garabedian CC, Woods JS. Chronic low-level mercury exposure, BDNF polymorphism, and associations with self-reported symptoms and mood. Toxicological Sciences. 2004; 81(2):354-63. Available from: <u>http://toxsci.oxfordjournals.org/content/81/2/354.long</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁸⁴ Cited as Inoue M. The Status Quo of Metal Allergy and Measures Against it in Dentistry. J.Jpn.Prosthodont.Soc. 1993; (37): 1127-1138.

In Hosoki M, Nishigawa K. Dental metal allergy [book chapter]. *Contact Dermatitis*. [edited by Young Suck Ro, ISBN 978-953-307-577-8]. December 16, 2011. Page 91. Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/download/get/type/pdfs/id/25247. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁸⁵ North American Contact Dermatitis Group. Epidemiology of contact Dermatitis in North America. Arch Dermatol. 1972; 108:537-40.

²⁸⁶ Hosoki M, Nishigawa K. Dental metal allergy [book chapter]. *Contact Dermatitis*. [edited by Young Suck Ro, ISBN 978-953-307-577-8]. December 16, 2011. Page 91. Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/download/get/type/pdfs/id/25247. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁸⁷ Kaplan M. Infections may trigger metal allergies. *Nature*, 2007 May 2. Available from Nature Web site:

http://www.nature.com/news/2007/070430/full/news070430-6.html. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁸⁸ Hosoki M, Nishigawa K. Dental metal allergy [book chapter]. *Contact Dermatitis*. [edited by Young Suck Ro, ISBN 978-953-307-577-8]. December 16, 2011. Page 107. Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/download/get/type/pdfs/id/25247. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁸⁹ Hosoki M, Nishigawa K. Dental metal allergy [book chapter]. *Contact Dermatitis*. [edited by Young Suck Ro, ISBN 978-953-307-577-8]. December 16, 2011. Page 91. Available from: <u>http://www.intechopen.com/download/get/type/pdfs/id/25247</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁹⁰ Ziff S, Ziff M. Dentistry without Mercury. IAOMT: ChampionsGate, FL. 2014. Pages 16-18.

²⁹¹ Pigatto PDM, Brambilla L, Ferrucci S, Guzzi G. Systemic allergic contact dermatitis due to galvanic couple between mercury amalgam and titanium implant. *Skin Allergy Meeting.* 2010.

²⁹² Pigatto PDM, Brambilla L, Ferrucci S, Guzzi G. Systemic allergic contact dermatitis due to galvanic couple between mercury amalgam and titanium implant. *Skin Allergy Meeting*. 2010.

²⁹³ Pleva J. Corrosion and mercury release from dental amalgam. J. Orthomol. Med. 1989; 4(3): 141-158.

²⁹⁴ Rachmawati D, Buskermolen JK, Scheper RJ, Gibbs S, von Blomberg BM, van Hoogstraten IM. Dental metal-induced innate reactivity in keratinocytes. *Toxicology in Vitro*. 2015; 30(1):325-30. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0887233315002544</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁹⁵ Prochazkova J, Sterzl I, Kucerova H, Bartova J, Stejskal VD. The beneficial effect of amalgam replacement on health in patients with autoimmunity. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2004; 25(3):211-218. Available from: <u>http://www.nel.edu/pdf /25 3/NEL250304A07 Prochazkova .pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁹⁶ Sterzl I, Procházková J, Hrdá P, Bártová J, Matucha P, Stejskal VD. Mercury and nickel allergy: risk factors in fatigue and autoimmunity. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20:221-228. Available from: <u>http://www.melisa.org/pdf/nialler.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁹⁷ Stejskal VDM, Cederbrant K, Lindvall A, Forsbeck M. MELISA—an *in vitro* tool for the study of metal allergy. *Toxicology in vitro*. 1994; 8(5): 991-1000. Available from: <u>http://www.melisa.org/pdf/MELISA-1994.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁹⁸ Stejskal I, Danersund A, Lindvall A, Hudecek R, Nordman V, Yaqob A, Mayer W, Bieger W, Lindh U. Metal-specific lymphocytes: biomarkers of sensitivity in man. *Neuroendocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20(5):289-298. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11460087</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

²⁹⁹ Sterzl I, Procházková J, Hrdá P, Bártová J, Matucha P, Stejskal VD. Mercury and nickel allergy: risk factors in fatigue and autoimmunity. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20:221-228. Available from: <u>http://www.melisa.org/pdf/nialler.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰⁰ Stejskal V, Öckert K, Bjørklund G. Metal-induced inflammation triggers fibromyalgia in metal-allergic patients. *Neuroendocrinology Letters*. 2013; 34(6). Available from: <u>http://www.melisa.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/Metal-induced-inflammation.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰¹ Sterzl I, Procházková J, Hrdá P, Bártová J, Matucha P, Stejskal VD. Mercury and nickel allergy: risk factors in fatigue and autoimmunity. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20:221-228. Available from: http://www.melisa.org/pdf/nialler.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰² Venclikova Z, Benada O, Bartova J, Joska L, Mrklas L, Prochazkova J, Stejskal V, Podzimek S. In vivo effects of dental casting alloys. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2006; 27:61. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/16892010</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰³ Pigatto PD, Minoia C, Ronchi A, Brambilla L, Ferrucci SM, Spadari F, Passoni M, Somalvico F, Bombeccari GP, Guzzi G. Allergological and toxicological aspects in a multiple chemical sensitivity cohort. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity. 2013. Available from: http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/omcl/2013/356235.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰⁴ Stejskal I, Danersund A, Lindvall A, Hudecek R, Nordman V, Yaqob A, Mayer W, Bieger W, Lindh U. Metal-specific lymphocytes: biomarkers of sensitivity in man. *Neuroendocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20(5):289-298. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11460087</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰⁵ Prochazkova J, Sterzl I, Kucerova H, Bartova J, Stejskal VD. The beneficial effect of amalgam replacement on health in patients with autoimmunity. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2004; 25(3):211-218. Available from: <u>http://www.nel.edu/pdf /25_3/NEL250304A07_Prochazkova_.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰⁶ Stejskal I, Danersund A, Lindvall A, Hudecek R, Nordman V, Yaqob A, Mayer W, Bieger W, Lindh U. Metal-specific lymphocytes: biomarkers of sensitivity in man. *Neuroendocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20(5):289-298. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11460087</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰⁷ Ditrichova D, Kapralova S, Tichy M, Ticha V, Dobesova J, Justova E, Eber M, Pirek P. Oral lichenoid lesions and allergy to dental materials. *Biomedical Papers*. 2007; 151(2): 333-339. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18345274</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰⁸ Laine J, Kalimo K, Forssell H, Happonen R. Resolution of oral lichenoid lesions after replacement of amalgam restorations in patients allergic to mercury compounds. *JAMA*. 1992; 267(21):2880. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2133.1992.tb08395.x/abstract</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁰⁹ Pang BK, Freeman S. Oral lichenoid lesions caused by allergy to mercury in amalgam fillings. *Contact Dermatitis*. 1995; 33(6):423-7. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1600-0536.1995.tb02079.x/abstract</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³¹⁰ Syed M, Chopra R, Sachdev V. Allergic reactions to dental materials-a systematic review. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research: JCDR*. 2015; 9(10):ZE04. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4625353/</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

³¹¹ Wong L, Freeman S. Oral lichenoid lesions (OLL) and mercury in amalgam fillings. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2003; 48(2):74-79. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1034/j.1600-0536.2003.480204.x/abstract?userIsAuthenticated=false&deniedAccessCustomisedMessage</u>=. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³¹² Tomka M, Machovkova A, Pelclova D, Petanova J, Arenbergerova M, Prochazkova J. Orofacial granulomatosis associated with hypersensitivity to dental amalgam. *Science Direct*. 2011; 112(3):335-341. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Milan Tomka/publication/51230248 Orofacial granulomatosis associated with hypersensitivity to dental amalgam/ links/02e7e5269407a8c6d6000000.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³¹³ Podzimek S, Prochazkova J, Buitasova L, Bartova J, Ulcova-Gallova Z, Mrklas L, Stejskal VD. Sensitization to inorganic mercury could be a risk factor for infertility. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* 2005; 26(4):277-282. Available from: <u>http://www.nel.edu/26-2005_4_pdf/NEL260405R01_Podzimek.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³¹⁴ Kall J, Just A, Aschner M. What's the risk? Dental amalgam, mercury exposure, and human health risks throughout the lifespan. *Epigenetics, the Environment, and Children's Health across Lifespans.* David J. Hollar, ed. Springer. 2016. pp. 159-206 (Chapter 7).

³¹⁵ Barregard L, Fabricius-Lagging E, Lundh T, Molne J, Wallin M, Olausson M, Modigh C, Sallsten G. Cadmium, mercury, and lead in kidney cortex of living kidney donors: impact of different exposure sources. *Environ Res.* 2010; 110(1): 47-54. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Johan Moelne/publication/40024474 Cadmium mercury and lead in kidney cortex of living kidney donors Impa ct_of_different_exposure_sources/links/0c9605294e28e1f04d000000.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³¹⁶ Bergdahl IA, Ahlqwist M, Barregard L, Björkelund C, Blomstrand A, Skerfving S, Sundh V, Wennberg M, Lissner L. Mercury in serum predicts low risk of death and myocardial infarction in Gothenburg women. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2013; 86(1): 71-77. Abstract available from: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00420-012-0746-8. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³¹⁷ Dye BA, Schober SE, Dillon CF, Jones RL, Fryar C, McDowell M, et al. Urinary mercury concentrations associated with dental restorations in adult women aged 16–49 years: United States, 1999–2000. *Occup Environ Med.* 2005; 62(6):368–75. Abstract available from: http://oem.bmj.com/content/62/6/368.short. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³¹⁸ Eggleston DW, Nylander M. Correlation of dental amalgam with mercury in brain tissue. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1987; 58(6): 704-707. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022391387904240. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³¹⁹ Fakour H, Esmaili-Sari A. Occupational and environmental exposure to mercury among Iranian hairdressers. *Journal of Occupational Health.* 2014; 56(1):56-61. Abstract available from: <u>https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/joh/56/1/56_13-0008-OA/_article</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

³²⁰ Geer LA, Persad MD, Palmer CD, Steuerwald AJ, Dalloul M, Abulafia O, Parsons PJ. Assessment of prenatal mercury exposure in a predominately Caribbean immigrant community in Brooklyn, NY. *J Environ Monit.* 2012; 14(3):1035-1043. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Laura Geer/publication/221832284 Assessment of prenatal mercury exposure in a predominately Caribbean immi grant_community_in_Brooklyn_NY/links/540c89680cf2df04e754718a.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³²¹ Geier DA, Kern JK, Geier MR. A prospective study of prenatal mercury exposure from dental amalgams and autism severity. *Neurobiolgiae Experimentals Polish Neuroscience Society*. 2009; 69(2): 189-197. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19593333</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³²² Gibicar D, Horvat M, Logar M, Fajon V, Falnoga I, Ferrara R, Lanzillotta E, Ceccarini C, Mazzolai B, Denby B, Pacyna J. Human exposure to mercury in the vicinity of chlor-alkali plant. *Environ Res.* 2009; 109(4): 355-367. Abstract available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935109000188. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³²³ Krausß P, Deyhle M, Maier KH, Roller E, Weiß HD, Clédon P. Field study on the mercury content of saliva. *Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry*.
 1997; 63, (1-4):29-46. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02772249709358515#.VnM7_PkrIgs</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

³²⁴ McGrother CW, Dugmore C, Phillips MJ, Raymond NT, Garrick P, Baird WO. Epidemiology: Multiple sclerosis, dental caries and fillings: a casecontrol study. *Br Dent J.* 1999; 187(5): 261-264. Available from: <u>http://www.nature.com/bdj/journal/v187/n5/full/4800255a.html</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³²⁵ Pesch A, Wilhelm M, Rostek U, Schmitz N, Weishoff-Houben M, Ranft U, et al. Mercury concentrations in urine, scalp hair, and saliva in children from Germany. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol.* 2002; 12(4):252–8. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/12087431</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³²⁶ Richardson GM, Wilson R, Allard D, Purtill C, Douma S, Gravière J. Mercury exposure and risks from dental amalgam in the US population, post-2000. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011; 409(20):4257-4268. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969711006607</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

³²⁷ Rothwell JA, Boyd PJ. Amalgam fillings and hearing loss. *International Journal of Audiology*. 2008; 47(12): 770-776. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14992020802311224</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³²⁸ Gundacker C, Komarnicki G, Zödl B, Forster C, Schuster E, Wittmann K. Whole blood mercury and selenium concentrations in a selected Austrian population: Does gender matter? *Sci Total Environ.* 2006; 372(1): 76-86. Abstract available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969706006255. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³²⁹ Richardson GM, Brecher RW, Scobie H, Hamblen J, Samuelian J, Smith C. Mercury vapour (Hg(0)): Continuing toxicological uncertainties, and establishing a Canadian reference exposure level. *Regul Toxicol Pharmicol.* 2009; 53(1):32-38. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0273230008002304. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³³⁰ Sun YH, Nfor ON, Huang JY, Liaw YP. Association between dental amalgam fillings and Alzheimer's disease: a population-based cross-sectional study

in Taiwan. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy*. 2015; 7(1):1-6. Available from: <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13195-015-0150-1/fulltext.html</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³³¹ Watson GE, Evans K, Thurston SW, van Wijngaarden E, Wallace JM, McSorley EM, Bonham MP, Mulhern MS, McAfee AJ, Davidson PW, Shamlaye CF, Strain JJ, Love T, Zareba G, Myers GJ. Prenatal exposure to dental amalgam in the Seychelles Child Development Nutrition Study: Associations with neurodevelopmental outcomes at 9 and 30 months. *Neurotoxicology*. 2012. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3576043/</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³³² Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Echeverria D, Russo JE, Martin MD, Bernardo MF, Luis HS, Vaz L, Farin FM. Modification of neurobehavioral effects of mercury by a genetic polymorphism of coproporphyrinogen oxidase in children. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 2012; 34(5):513-21. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3462250/. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³³³ Lyttle HA, Bowden GH. The level of mercury in human dental plaque and interaction in vitro between biofilms of streptococcus mutans and dental amalgam. Journal of Dental Research. 1993;72(9): 1320-1324. Abstract available from: http://jdr.sagepub.com/content/72/9/1320.short. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³³⁴ Raymond LJ, Ralston NVC. Mercury: selenium interactions and health complications. Seychelles Medical and Dental Journal. 2004; 7(1): 72-77. ³³⁵ Haley BE. Mercury toxicity: genetic susceptibility and synergistic effects. *Medical Vertias*. 2005; 2(2): 535-542.

³³⁶ Haley BE. The relationship of the toxic effects of mercury to exacerbation of the medical condition classified as Alzheimer's disease. *Medical Veritas*. 2007; 4(2):1510-1524. Available from: http://www.medicalveritas.com/images/00161.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³³⁷ Ingalls TH. Epidemiology, etiology, and prevention of multiple sclerosis. Hypothesis and fact. Am. J. Forensic Med. Pathol. 1983; 4(1):55-61. ³³⁸ Schubert J, Riley EJ, Tyler SA. Combined effects in toxicology—a rapid systematic testing procedure: Cadmium, mercury, and lead. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A Current Issues. 1978; 4(5-6):763-776. Abstract available from:

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/15287397809529698. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³³⁹ Kostial K, Rabar I, Ciganovic M, Simonovic I. Effect of milk on mercury absorption and gut retention in rats. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 1979; 23(1): 566-571. Abstract available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/497464. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁴⁰ Mata L, Sanchez L, Calvo, M. Interaction of mercury with human and bovine milk proteins. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*. 1997; 61(10): 1641-4. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1271/bbb.61.1641. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁴¹ Hursh JB, Greenwood MR, Clarkson TW, Allen J, Demuth S. The effect of ethanol on the fate of mercury inhaled by man. JPET. 1980; 214(3):520-527. Abstract available from: http://jpet.aspetjournals.org/content/214/3/520.short. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁴² Kall J, Just A, Aschner M. What's the risk? Dental amalgam, mercury exposure, and human health risks throughout the lifespan. *Epigenetics, the* Environment, and Children's Health across Lifespans. David J. Hollar, ed. Springer. 2016. pp. 159-206 (Chapter 7).

³⁴³ United Nations Environment Programme and World Health Organization. Promoting the Phase Down Approach of Dental Amalgam in Developing Countries. Geneva, Switzerland: UNEP and WHO. 2014: 9. Available from UNEP Web site:

http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Portals/9/Mercury/Products/dental%20mercury%20phase%20down%20project%20brochure%20FINAL lr.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁴⁴ Arenholt-Bindslev D, Larsen AH. Mercury levels and discharge in waste water from dental clinics. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 1996; 86(1-4):93-9. Abstract available from: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00279147. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁴⁵ Hylander LD, Lindvall A, Uhrberg R, Gahnberg L, Lindh U. Mercury recovery in situ of four different dental amalgam separators. Science of the total environment. 2006; 366(1):320-36. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Lars Hylander/publication/7582488 Mercury recovery in situ of four different dental amalgam separators/links/5 4e727b50cf2cd2e0291297c.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁴⁶ Cited as Vandeven J, McGinnis S. An assessment of mercury in the form of amalgam in dental wastewater in the United States. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. 2005; 164: 349-366. DCN 0469.

In Frost A, Madden R. Reducing Mercury from the Environment: An Assessment of Dental Amalgam. 2014. Page 11. Available from: https://www.phasa.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/DRI-Minamata-Paper.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁴⁷ United States Environmental Protection Agency. Effluent Limitation Guidelines and Standards for the Dental Category Mercury in Dental Amalgam. EPA - 821-F-14-002. September 2014. Available from EPA Website: http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-06/documents/dental-categoryfactsheet_proposed_rule_2014.pdf. Accessed December 15, 2015.

³⁴⁸ Frost A, Madden R. Reducing Mercury from the Environment: An Assessment of Dental Amalgam, 2014. Page 13. Available from: https://www.phasa.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/DRI-Minamata-Paper.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁴⁹ Royal College of Dental Surgeons in Ontario. Amalgam waste disposal. *Standard of Practice*. November 2003. Reported upon in Royal College of Dental Surgeons in Ontario. Maintaining an amalgam separator. Dispatch. May/June 2009; 23(2): 40-41. Available from:

http://www.rcdso.org/save.aspx?id=bf43f9b3-6905-4f2a-844d-2c9b0c934a39. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁵⁰ Laske Mark, Opdam Niek JM, Bronkhorst Ewald M, Braspenning Joze CC, Huysmans Marie-Charlotte D.N.J.M. Longevity of direct restorations in Dutch dental practices. Descriptive study out of a practice based research network. Journal of Dentistry. 2016. Abstract available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2016.01.002. Accessed January 12, 2016.

³⁵¹ McCracken MS, Gordan VV, Litaker MS, Funkhouser E, Fellows JL, Shamp DG, Ovist V, Meral JS, Gilbert GH, A 24-month evaluation of amalgam and resin-based composite restorations: Findings from The National Dental Practice-Based Research Network. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 2013; 144(6):583-93. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3694730/. Accessed December 17, 2015. ³⁵² Laccabue M, Ahlf RL, Simecek JW. Frequency of restoration replacement in posterior teeth for US Navy and Marine Corps personnel. Operative dentistry. 2014; 39(1):43-9. Abstract available from: http://www.jopdentonline.org/doi/abs/10.2341/12-406-C. Accessed December 17, 2015. ³⁵³ Pallesen U, van Dijken JW. A randomized controlled 30 years follow up of three conventional resin composites in Class II restorations. Dental Materials.

2015; 31(10):1232-44. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0109564115003607. Accessed December 17, 2015. ³⁵⁴ Opdam NJ, van de Sande FH, Bronkhorst E, Cenci MS, Bottenberg P, Pallesen U, Gaengler P, Lindberg A, Huysmans MC, van Dijken JW. Longevity of Posterior Composite Restorations: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Journal of Dental Research. 2014; 93(10):943-9. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4293707/. Accessed January 18, 2016.

³⁵⁵ Heintze SD, Rousson V. Clinical effectiveness of direct class II restorations—a meta-analysis. J Adhes Dent. 2012; 14(5):407-31. Available from: http://www.osteocom.net/osteocom/modules/Friend/images/heintze 13062.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁵⁶ Rodolpho PAD, Donassollo TA, Cenci MS, Loguércio AD, Moraes RR, Bronkhorst EM, Opdam NJ, Demarco FF. 22-Year clinical evaluation of the performance of two posterior composites with different filler characteristics. Dental Materials. 2011; 27(10):955-63. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Rafael Moraes6/publication/51496272 22-

Year clinical evaluation of the performance of two posterior composites with different filler characteristics/links/00b7d531750b429121000000.pdf. Accessed January 18, 2016.

³⁵⁷ See Admira Fusion on the VOCO website at http://www.voco.com/us/product/admira_fusion/index.html. Accessed January 18, 2016.

³⁵⁸ See Admira Fusion X-tra on the VOCO website at <u>http://www.voco.com/us/product/admira_fusion_xtra/index.html</u>. Accessed January 18, 2016
³⁵⁹ See Admira/Admira Fusion X-tra News on VOCO website at <u>http://www.voco.com/en/company/news/Admira_Fusion_Admira_Fusion_x-tra/index.html</u>. Accessed January 18, 2016.

³⁶⁰ Koral S. A practical guide to compatibility testing for dental materials. 2015. Available from the IAOMT Website. <u>https://iaomt.org/practical-guide-compatibility-testing-dental-materials/</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁶¹ Biocomp Laboratories Website is <u>http://www.biocomplabs.com/about.html</u>.

³⁶² Clifford Consulting and Research Website is <u>http://www.ccrlab.com/</u>.

³⁶³ Stejskal VD, Cederbrant K, Lindvall A, Forsbeck M. MELISA—an in vitro tool for the study of metal allergy. *Toxicology in vitro*. 1994; 8(5):991-1000. Available from: <u>http://www.melisa.org/pdf/MELISA-1994.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

MELISA Web site is <u>http://www.melisa.org/</u>. ³⁶⁴ Redhe O, Pleva J. Recovery of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and from allergy after removal of dental amalgam fillings. *Int J Risk & Safety in Med.* 1994; 4(3): 229-236. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jaro Pleva/publication/235899060 Recovery from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and from allergy after removal o f_dental_amalgam_fillings/links/0fcfd513f4c3e10807000000.pdf. Accessed December 16, 2015.

³⁶⁵ Sterzl I, Prochazkova J, Hrda P, Matucha P, Stejskal VD. Mercury and nickel allergy: risk factors in fatigue and autoimmunity. *Neuroendocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20(3-4):221-228. Available from: <u>http://www.melisa.org/pdf/nialler.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁶⁶ Pigatto PDM, Brambilla L, Ferrucci S, Guzzi G. Systemic allergic contact dermatitis due to galvanic couple between mercury amalgam and titanium implant. *Skin Allergy Meeting*. 2010.

³⁶⁷ Stejskal V, Öckert K, Bjørklund G. Metal-induced inflammation triggers fibromyalgia in metal-allergic patients. *Neuroendocrinology Letters*. 2013; 34(6). Available from: <u>http://www.melisa.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/Metal-induced-inflammation.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁶⁸ Redhe O, Pleva J. Recovery of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and from allergy after removal of dental amalgam fillings. *Int J Risk & Safety in Med.* 1994; 4(3): 232-233. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jaro Pleva/publication/235899060 Recovery from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and from allergy after removal o <u>f dental amalgam fillings/links/0fcfd513f4c3e10807000000.pdf</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

³⁶⁹ Finne KAJ, Göransson K, Winckler L. Oral lichen planus and contact allergy to mercury. *International Journal of Oral Surgery*. 1982; 11(4):236-239. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0300978582800732</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷⁰ Lind PO, Hurlen B, Lyberg T, Aas E. Amalgam-related oral lichenoid reaction. *Scand J Dent Res.* 1986; 94(5):448-51. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1600-0722.1986.tb01786.x/abstract</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷¹ Lundstrom IM. Allergy and corrosion of dental materials in patients with oral lichen planus. *Int J Oral Surg.* 1984; 13(1):16. Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0300978584800514. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷² Camisa C, Taylor JS, Bernat JR, Helm TN. Contact hypersensitivity to mercury in amalgam restorations may mimic oral lichen planus. *Cutis.* 1999; 63(3):189-92. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/10190076</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷³ Laine J, Kalimo K, Forssell H, Happonen R. Resolution of oral lichenoid lesions after replacement of amalgam restorations in patients allergic to mercury compounds. *JAMA*. 1992; 267(21):2880. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2133.1992.tb08395.x/abstract</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷⁴ Pang BK, Freeman S. Oral lichenoid lesions caused by allergy to mercury in amalgam fillings. *Contact Dermatitis*. 1995; 33(6):423-7. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1600-0536.1995.tb02079.x/abstract</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷⁵ Tomka M, Machovkova A, Pelclova D, Petanova J, Arenbergerova M, Prochazkova J. Orofacial granulomatosis associated with hypersensitivity to dental amalgam. *Science Direct.* 2011; 112(3):335-341. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Milan Tomka/publication/51230248 Orofacial granulomatosis associated with hypersensitivity to dental amalgam/ links/02e7e5269407a8c6d6000000.pdf. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷⁶ Stejskal I, Danersund A, Lindvall A, Hudecek R, Nordman V, Yaqob A, Mayer W, Bieger W, Lindh U. Metal-specific lymphocytes: biomarkers of sensitivity in man. *Neuroendocrinol Lett.* 1999; 20(5): 289-298. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11460087</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷⁷ Hosoki M, Nishigawa K. Dental metal allergy [book chapter]. *Contact Dermatitis*. [edited by Young Suck Ro, ISBN 978-953-307-577-8]. December 16, 2011. Page 99. Available from: <u>http://www.intechopen.com/download/get/type/pdfs/id/25247</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷⁸ Björkman L, Brokstad KA, Moen K, Jonsson R. Minor changes in serum levels of cytokines after removal of amalgam restorations. *Toxicology Letters*. 2012; 211(2):120-5. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378427412008715</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁷⁹ Hanson, M. Health and amalgam removal: a meta-analysis of 25 studies. *Tf-bladet Bull of the Swedish Association of Dental Mercury Patients*. Tf-bladet no. 2 2004 and SOU 2003:53 appendix 10, Sw. Dept. of Health. 204-216. Available from: <u>https://iaomt.org/wp-content/uploads/article Hanson-effects-of-amal-removal.pdf</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁸⁰ Huggins HA, Levy TE. Cerebrospinal fluid protein changes in multiple sclerosis after dental amalgam removal. *Altern Med Rev.* 1998; 3(4): 295-300. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9727079</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁸¹ Lindqvist B, Mörnstad H. Effects of removing amalgam fillings from patients with diseases affecting the immune system. *Medical Science Research*. 1996; 24(5):355-356.

³⁸² Lygre GB, Sjursen TT, Svahn J, Helland V, Lundekvam BF, Dalen K, Björkman L. Characterization of health complaints before and after removal of amalgam fillings—3-year follow-up. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*. 2013; 71(3-4):560-9. Abstract available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3109/00016357.2012.697577. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁸³ Siblerud RL. A comparison of mental health of multiple sclerosis patients with silver/mercury dental fillings and those with fillings removed. *Psychol Rep.* 1992; 70(3pt 2):1136-51. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.amsciepub.com/doi/abs/10.2466/pr0.1992.70.3c.1139?journalCode=pr0</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁸⁴ Siblerud RL, Kienholz E. Evidence that mercury from silver dental fillings may be an etiological factor in multiple sclerosis. *The Science of the Total Environment*. 1994; 142(3): 191-205. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048969794903271</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁸⁵ Sjursen TT, Lygre GM, Dalen K, Helland V, Laegreid T, Svahn J, Lundekvam BF, Bjorkman L. Changes in health complaints after removal of amalgam fillings. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*. 2011; 38(11): 835-848. Abstract available from: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-</u>2842.2011.02223.x/full. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁸⁶ Wojcik DP, Godfrey ME, Christie D, Haley BE. Mercury toxicity presenting as chronic fatigue, memory impairment and depression: diagnosis, treatment, susceptibility, and outcomes in a New Zealand general practice setting: 1994-2006. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* August 2006; 27(4): 415-423. Abstract available from: <u>http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/16891999</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁸⁷ Zamm AV. Candida albicans therapy. Is there ever an end to it? Dental mercury removal: an effective adjunct. *J. Orthomol. Med.* 1986; 1(4): 261-266.
 ³⁸⁸ Zwicker JD, Dutton DJ, Emery JC. Longitudinal analysis of the association between removal of dental amalgam, urine mercury and 14 self-reported health symptoms. *Environmental Health.* 2014; 13(1):95. Available from: <u>http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1476-069X-13-95.pdf</u>. Accessed December 18, 2015.

³⁸⁹ Health Canada. *The Safety of Dental Amalgam*. 1996: 4. Available from Health Canada Web site: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/dhp-mps/alt_formats/hpfb-dgpsa/pdf/md-im/dent_amalgam-eng.pdf</u>. Accessed December 15, 2015.

³⁹⁰ Karahalil B, Rahravi H, Ertas N. Examination of urinary mercury levels in dentists in Turkey. *Hum Exp Toxicol*. 2005; 24(8):383-388. Abstract available from: <u>http://het.sagepub.com/content/24/8/383.short</u>. Accessed December 16, 2015.

³⁹¹ Lönnroth EC, Shahnavaz H. Dental clinics--a burden to environment? *Swed Dent J.* 1996; 20(5):173. Abstract available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/9000326. Accessed December 16, 2015.

³⁹² Martin MD, Naleway C, Chou HN. Factors contributing to mercury exposure in dentists. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1995; 126(11):1502-1511. Abstract available

from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0002817715607851. Accessed December 16, 2015. ³⁹³ Nimmo A, Werley MS, Martin JS, Tansy MF. Particulate inhalation during the removal of amalgam restorations. *J Prosth Dent.* 1990; 63(2):228-33.

Abstract available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/002239139090110X. Accessed December 16, 2015.

³⁹⁴ Oliveira MT, Constantino HV, Molina GO, Milioli E, Ghizoni JS, Pereira JR. Evaluation of mercury contamination in patients and water during amalgam removal. *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*. 2014; 15(2):165. Abstract available from:

http://search.proquest.com/openview/c9e4c284ca7b3fd3779621692411875c/1?pq-origsite=gscholar. Accessed December 18, 2015.

³⁹⁵ Richardson GM. Inhalation of mercury-contaminated particulate matter by dentists: an overlooked occupational risk. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment.* 2003; 9(6): 1519-1531. Abstract available from: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10807030390251010#.VnNn0vkrIgs</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁹⁶ Warwick R, O Connor A, Lamey B. Sample size = 25 for each mercury vapor exposure during dental student training in amalgam removal. *J Occup Med Toxicol*. 2013; 8(1):27. Available from: <u>http://download.springer.com/static/pdf/203/art%253A10.1186%252F1745-6673-8-</u>

27.pdf?originUrl=http%3A%2F%2Foccup-med.biomedcentral.com%2Farticle%2F10.1186%2F1745-6673-8-

27&token2=exp=1450380047~acl=%2Fstatic%2Fpdf%2F203%2Fart%25253A10.1186%25252F1745-6673-8-

27.pdf*~hmac=6ae07046977e264c2d8d25ff12a5600a2b3d4b4f5090fbff92ce459bd389326d. Accessed December 16, 2015.

³⁹⁷ IAOMT. Safe Removal of Amalgam Fillings. Available from: <u>https://iaomt.org/safe-removal-amalgam-fillings/</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁹⁸ IAOMT. Safe Removal of Amalgam Fillings. Available from: <u>https://iaomt.org/safe-removal-amalgam-fillings/</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

³⁹⁹ IAOMT. Safe Removal of Amalgam Fillings. Available from: <u>https://iaomt.org/safe-removal-amalgam-fillings/</u>. Accessed December 17, 2015.

⁴⁰⁰ Fleming M, Janosky J. The economics of dental amalgam regulation. Report Submitted for Review and Publication to "Public Health Reports." IAOMT. Available from IAOMT Web site: <u>https://iaomt.org/9887-2/</u>. Accessed January 25, 2016.

⁴⁰¹ Fleming M, Janosky J. The economics of dental amalgam regulation. Report Submitted for Review and Publication to "Public Health Reports." IAOMT. Available from IAOMT Web site: <u>https://iaomt.org/9887-2/</u>. Accessed January 25, 2016.

⁴⁰² McCann D. "A Solution to our Country's Big Health Care Problem? *CFO*. October 30, 2012. <u>http://www3.cfo.com/article/2012/10/health-benefits_parker-hannifin-washkewicz-complementary-alternative-medicine-cam-sherri-tenpenny-chelation-mercury-fillings-pelletier</u>. Accessed February 26, 2013.

⁴⁰³ United Nations Environment Programme. *Minamata Convention on Mercury: Text and Annexes*. 2013: 48. Available from UNEP's Minamata Convention on Mercury Web site:

http://www.mercuryconvention.org/Portals/11/documents/Booklets/Minamata%20Convention%20on%20Mercury_booklet_English.p_df. Accessed December 15, 2015.

⁴⁰⁴ Lassen C, Maag J for the Nordic Council of Ministers. *Mercury Reductions are Feasible: Reducing Mercury Releases with Known Technologies and Management Solutions*. Stockholm, Sweden: INC1. 2010 June 8. Slide 14. Available from: <u>http://www.norden.org/en/nordic-council-of-ministers/council-of-m</u>